

Some Contributions in Soft MTL-Algebras

M. Uzair Khan^{*1}, S. Abdullah², Raees Khan¹, Imran Khan¹, Zia Ur Rahman³, Zahoor Ahmad⁴

¹Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, KPK, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, KPK, Pakistan

³Department of Computer Science, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, KPK, Pakistan

⁴Department of Geology & Geophysics, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, KPK, Pakistan

Received: February 24, 2017

Accepted: May 3, 2017

ABSTRACT

Characterizations of soft filters in MTL algebras are given. The concept of Boolean soft filters and MV-soft filters are presented & related possessions are explored. A situation for a softfilter to be Boolean is delivered. A characterization of a Boolean soft filter is given.

KEYWORDS: MTL-algebras, Soft sets, Soft filters, Boolean soft filters, MV-soft filter.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Esteva and Godo introduced the logic known as, Monoidal t-norm grounded logic. [2] Presented a different algebra called an MTL-algebra and deliberate numerous rudimentary possessions of MTL-algebra. Haveshki et al [3] introduced the concept of filter in MTL-algebras. The concept of Fuzzy set was initiated by Zadeh [8]. Kim et al. [5] and Jun et al. [3] studied the fuzzy structure of filters in MTL-algebras. The concept of soft set was initiated by Molodtsov [7]. In [6] Maji et defined some operations on soft set. These operations were corrected by Ali et al [1]. In this paper we introduced soft filters in MTL-algebras and investigate possessions of soft filters in MTL-algebras. In section 2, we recall some basic definitions of MTL-algebras. In section 4, we have given the definition of Boolean soft filter and some characterizations of Boolean soft filters of an MTL-algebra are investigated. In section 5, we have given the definition of an MV-soft filter and provided a situation for a Boolean soft filter to be an MV-soft filter.

2. PRELIMINARIES

By a resituated framework we mean a lattice $E = (E, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ comprising the smallest component 0 and the biggest component 1, and capable through double binary procedures \otimes (Product) & \rightarrow (Residuum) such that

\otimes is an isotone, commutative & associative.

$$(x \otimes 1 = x) \quad \forall x \in E$$

The Galois communicationgrips, which is,

$$x \otimes y \leq z \Leftrightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow z$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

2.1 Definition [4]

An MTL-algebra is a resituated framework $E = (E, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ sustaining the prelinearity equation:

$$(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in E$.

2.2 Proposition [4]

The following are true for an MTL-algebra E . For all $x, y, z \in E$

$$(u_1) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \rightarrow y = 1.$$

$$(u_2) \quad 0 \rightarrow x = 1, 1 \rightarrow x = x, x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1.$$

$$(u_3) \quad y \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x.$$

$$(u_4) \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

$$(u_5) \quad x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y), (x \rightarrow y) \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

$$(u_6) \quad y \leq x \Rightarrow x \rightarrow z \leq y \rightarrow z, z \rightarrow y \leq z \rightarrow x.$$

$$(u_7) \quad (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \rightarrow x = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (y_i \rightarrow x).$$

We describe $x^* = \bigvee \{y \in E \mid x \otimes y = 0\}$, consistently, $x^* = x \rightarrow 0$. then

$$\textcircled{u}_8 \quad 0^* = 1, 1^* = 0, x \leq x^{**}, x^* = x^{***}.$$

In a MTL-algebra, the following are true

$$\textcircled{u}_9 \quad x \rightarrow (y \vee z) = (x \rightarrow y) \vee (x \rightarrow z).$$

$$\textcircled{u}_{10} \quad x \otimes y \leq x \wedge y.$$

2.3 Definition [4, 5]

Let “ E ” is MTL-algebra. A non-empty sub-set F of E is said to be a filter of E if it fulfills

$$(a_1) \quad x \otimes y \in F \text{ for all } x, y \in F.$$

$$(a_2) \quad \text{If } x \in F, x \leq y \text{ then } y \in F \text{ for all } y \in E.$$

2.4 Proposition [4, 5]

A non-empty sub-set F of MTL-algebra E is a filter of E only if it fulfills the following

$$(F_1) \quad 1 \in F$$

$$(F_2) \quad x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F. \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

2.5 Definition [3]

Let “ F ” be a non-empty subset of MTL-algebra E . Then F is called a Boolean filter of E if, F is a filter of E such that $x^* \vee x \in F$, for all $x \in E$ where $x^* = x \rightarrow 0$.

2.6 Definition [3]

Let F be a non-empty subset of an MTL-algebra E . Then F is called an MV-filter if

$$x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ implies } (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \in F.$$

2.7 Definition [1, 6, 7]

Suppose that U is a preliminary universe & E is the set of all parameters under consideration with respect to U . The power set of U (i.e the set of all subsets of U) is denoted by $P(U)$ and A is a subset of E .

Usually, parameters are attributes, characteristics, or properties objects in U .

A couple of (F, A) is said to be a soft set above U , where the mapping F is a given by

$$F : A \rightarrow P(U).$$

Additionally, a softset above U is a family which is parameterized of universal U subsets.

3. SOFT FILTERS

In this section, we define soft filter of an MTL-algebra. Some characterizations of a soft filter are investigated. Throughout this paper E is an MTL-algebra and U is a non-empty set.

3.1 Definition

A softset (F, E) above U is called a soft-filter of an MTL-algebra E if F satisfies

- (1) $F(x \otimes y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(y)$ for all $x, y \in E$.
- (2) F is an order-preserving, that is, $x \leq y \Rightarrow F(x) \subseteq F(y)$, for all $x, y \in E$.

3.2 Example

Let $E = [0, 1]$. Define \otimes and \rightarrow as follows

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} x \wedge y & \text{if } x + y > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ (1-x) \vee y & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

For all $x, y \in E$. Then E is an MTL-algebra. Let us define a soft set (F, E) over E by

$$F : E \rightarrow P(E)$$

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } x \in [0, 0.5] \\ E & \text{if } x \in (0.5, 1] \end{cases}$$

Where $H \subset E$. Then F is a soft filter of E .

3.3 Theorem

A softset (F, E) above U is a softfilter in an MTL-algebra E only if it fulfills the following conditions

- (1) $F(1) \supseteq F(x)$
- (2) $F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y)$

For all $x, y \in E$.

Proof: Suppose that the soft set (F, E) over U satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Let x & $y \in E$ be like $x \leq y$ which implies that $x \rightarrow y = 1$. Now by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) &\supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \\ &= F(x) \cap F(1) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y = 1) \\ &= F(x) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &\Rightarrow F(x) \subseteq F(y) \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (x \otimes y)) = (x \otimes y) \rightarrow (x \otimes y) = 1 \quad \text{because } x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \otimes y) \rightarrow z.$$

We have from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} F(x \otimes y) &\supseteq F(y) \cap F(y \rightarrow (x \otimes y)) \\ &\supseteq F(y) \cap \{F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (x \otimes y)))\} \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= F(y) \cap \{F(x) \cap F((x \otimes y) \rightarrow (x \otimes y))\} \quad \text{because } x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \otimes y) \rightarrow z \\ &= F(y) \cap \{F(x) \cap F(1)\} \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow x = 1) \\ &= F(y) \cap F(x) \quad \text{because } F(x) \subseteq F(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$F(x \otimes y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(y).$$

Thus F is a soft filter of E .

Conversely, Let F be a softfilter of E . Since $x \leq 1 \forall x \in E$. we have $F(x) \subseteq F(1) \forall x \in E$.

Now, let $x, y \in E$, $x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$ which implies that

$$x \otimes (x \rightarrow y) \leq y \quad \text{by Galois correspondence } (x \otimes y) \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow z$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(y) &\supseteq F(x \otimes (x \rightarrow y)) \\
&\supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \text{ by Definition 3.1} \\
&\Rightarrow F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y).
\end{aligned}$$

3.4 Theorem

A softset (F, E) above U is a soft filter of an MTL-algebra E only if it fulfills the following condition

$$a \leq b \rightarrow c \Rightarrow F(c) \supseteq F(a) \cap F(b) \quad \forall a, b, c \in E.$$

Proof. Suppose that F is a soft filter of E . Let a, b & c $a, b, c \in E$ be like that $a \leq b \rightarrow c$. Then $F(a) \subseteq F(b \rightarrow c)$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(c) &\supseteq F(b) \cap F(b \rightarrow c) \text{ by Theorem 3.3} \\
&\supseteq F(b) \cap F(a) \text{ because } F(a) \subseteq F(b \rightarrow c) \\
&\Rightarrow F(c) \supseteq F(a) \cap F(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that the soft set (F, E) over U satisfies, for all $a, b, c \in E$

$$a \leq b \rightarrow c \text{ implies } F(c) \supseteq F(a) \cap F(b).$$

We show that F is a soft filter in E .

Since, $x \leq x \rightarrow 1$ for all $x \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1) &\supseteq F(x) \cap F(x) = F(x) \\
&\Rightarrow F(1) \supseteq F(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow y$ for all $x, y \in E$, we have

$$F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y).$$

Hence by Theorem 3.3, F is a soft filter of E .

3.5 Definition

Let (F, E) remain a soft set above U , where E is an MTL-algebra and $T \subseteq U$. Then the set

$$F_T = F[T] = \{x \in E \mid F(x) \supseteq T\}$$

is called a soft level subset of F .

3.6 Theorem

The soft set (F, E) above U which is soft filter in an MTL-algebra E if and only if the level soft set

$$F_T = F[T] = \{x \in E \mid F(x) \supseteq T\} \text{ is either empty or a filter of } E.$$

Proof. Suppose F_T is a filter of E if non-empty. Let $x \in E$ exist like $F(x) \supseteq F(1)$.

Take $T = F(x)$. At that time $x \in F_T$ but $1 \notin F_T$, contradiction. Thus $F(1) \supseteq F(x)$.

Let $x, y \in E$ be like take

$$T_1 = F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y).$$

Then $x, x \rightarrow y \in F_{T_1}$ but $y \notin F_{T_1}$, contradiction.

Therefore

$$F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y)$$

This implies that F is a soft filter of E .

Conversely, let F remain a soft filter of E and $T \in P(U)$, such that $F_T \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $x_o \in F_T$

such that $F(x_o) \supseteq T$. As $F(1) \supseteq F(x_o)$, we have $F(1) \supseteq T$, that is $1 \in F_T$. If $x, x \rightarrow y \in F_T$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &\supseteq T \text{ and } F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq T \\
&\Rightarrow F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq T.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y),$$

we have $F(y) \supseteq T$, that is $y \in F_T$. Thus F_T is a filter.

3.7 Theorem

If F is a soft filter of an MTL-algebra E , then the set

$$\Omega_a = \{x \in E \mid F(x) \supseteq F(a)\}$$

is a filter of E for all $a \in E$.

Proof. If F is a softfilter of E , so for all $x \in E$, $F(1) \supseteq F(x) \Rightarrow 1 \in \Omega_a$. Lets take $x, y \in E$ be like $x \in \Omega_a$ and $x \rightarrow y \in \Omega_a$. Then $F(x) \supseteq F(a)$ and $F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(a)$. Since F is a soft filter of E , it follows that

$$F(y) \supseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(a) \cap F(a)$$

Thus $F(y) \supseteq F(a)$. Hence $y \in \Omega_a$. This implies that Ω_a is the filter of E .

3.8 Theorem

Let $a \in E$ & (F, E) is a soft-set over U . then

1. If Ω_a is the filter of an MTL-algebra E , then F fulfills the subsequent condition:

$$F(a) \subseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x) \Rightarrow F(a) \subseteq F(y) \text{ For all } x, y \in E.$$

2. If F satisfies

$$F(x) \subseteq F(1) \text{ and } F(a) \subseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \Rightarrow F(a) \subseteq F(y).$$

For all $x, y \in E$, then Ω_a is a filter of E .

Proof. (1) Supposing that, Ω_a is the filter of E . Lets the $x, y \in E$ be like that $F(a) \subseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x)$.

Then $x \in \Omega_a$ and $x \rightarrow y \in \Omega_a$. This implies that $y \in \Omega_a$ and so $F(y) \supseteq F(a)$.

(2) Assume that F fulfills given conditions. It monitors that $1 \in \Omega_a$. Let $x, y \in E$ be such that $x \in \Omega_a$ and $x \rightarrow y \in \Omega_a$ Then

$$F(x) \supseteq F(a) \text{ and } F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(a).$$

Which suggests that

$$F(a) \subseteq F(x) \cap F(x \rightarrow y).$$

Thus

$$F(a) \subseteq F(y),$$

so $y \in \Omega_a$. Therefore Ω_a is a filter of E .

3.9 Proposition

Let F be a soft filter of an MTL-algebra E . Formerly the subsequent conditions are equal:

$$(1) F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y)$$

$$(2) F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))$$

$$(3) F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

Proof:- (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that F fulfills the situation

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in E.$$

Taking $y = z$ and $x = y$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x \rightarrow y) &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \cap F(x \rightarrow x) \\ &= F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \cap F(1) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow x = 1). \\ &= F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \quad \text{by Theorem 3.3 (1)} \\ &\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x, y \in E$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that F fulfills the situation

$$F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

Let $x, y, z \in E$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} y \rightarrow z &\leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow y) \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\ &\Rightarrow x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \text{ because } x \leq y \Rightarrow (z \rightarrow x) \leq (z \rightarrow y) \\ &\Rightarrow x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \dots (A) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) &= F(x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z))) \text{ by (2)} \\ &= F(x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z). \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \text{ by (A)} \\ &\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)). \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that F satisfies

$$F(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(x \rightarrow z) &\supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \text{ by Theorem 3.3} \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y) \text{ by (3)} \\ &\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow y) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y). \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

3.10 Theorem

The intersection of any family of soft filters of MTL algebra E is also a soft filter of E .

Proof Let $\{F_j \mid j \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of soft filters of E and $x, y \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j\right)(x \otimes y) &= \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} (F_j(x \otimes y)) \supseteq \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} (F_j(x) \cap F_j(y)) \\ &\supseteq \left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j\right)(x) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j\right)(y). \end{aligned}$$

If $x \leq y$ then

$$\left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j\right)(x) = \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} (F_j(x)) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} (F_j(y)) = \left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j\right)(y).$$

Hence $\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} F_j$ is a soft filter of E .

4. BOOLEAN SOFT FILTERS

In this section, we give the definition of Boolean soft filter of an MTL-algebra and present some results on Boolean soft filters.

4.1 Definition

A soft filter F of an MTL-algebra E is understood to be Boolean if it fulfills the subsequent condition

$$F(x \vee x^*) = F(1)$$

$\forall x \in E$, where $x^* = \vee\{y \in E : x \otimes y = 0\}$ or $x^* = x \rightarrow 0$.

4.2 Example

Let $E = \{0, l, m, 1\}$, where $0 < m < l < 1$. Define \otimes and \rightarrow as follows

\otimes	0	l	m	1
0	0	0	0	0
l	0	l	0	l
m	0	0	m	m
1	0	l	m	1

\rightarrow	0	l	m	1
0	1	1	1	1
l	m	1	m	1
m	l	1	1	1
1	0	l	m	1

Then $E = (E, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is an MTL-algebra. Let us define the soft sets (F, E) and (F_1, E) over

$$U \text{ as}$$

$$F : E \rightarrow P(U)$$

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } x \in \{0, m\} \\ U & \text{if } x \in \{l, 1\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_1 : E \rightarrow P(U)$$

$$F_1(x) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if } x = 0 \\ U & \text{if } x \in \{l, m, 1\}. \end{cases}$$

where $H = \{b, c\} \subset U = \{a, b, c\}$. Then F and F_1 are Boolean soft filters of E .

4.3 Remark

Every soft filter of an MTL-algebra E is not a Boolean soft filter.

4.4 Example

Let $E = \{0, u, v, 1\}$, where $0 < u < v < 1$. We define \otimes and \rightarrow as follows

\otimes	0	u	v	1
0	0	0	0	0
u	0	0	0	u
v	0	0	u	v
1	0	u	v	1

\rightarrow	0	u	v	1
0	1	1	1	1
u	v	1	1	1
v	u	v	1	1
1	0	u	v	1

Then $E = (E, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a MTL-algebra. Let us define a softset (F, E) over $U = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, that is $F : E \rightarrow P(U)$ by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} U & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \{e_1, e_2, 1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then F is soft filter of E , but is not a Boolean soft filter of E , since

$$F(v \vee v^*) = F(v) \neq F(1).$$

4.5 Proposition

Let F and G be soft filters of an MTL-algebra E such that $F \subseteq G$ and $F(1) = G(1)$. If F is Boolean, then so is G .

Proof. Suppose that F is Boolean. Then for all $x \in E$

$$F(1) = F(x \vee x^*)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} G(1) &= F(1) = F(x \vee x^*) \\ &\subseteq G(x \vee x^*) \text{ because } (F \subseteq G) \\ &\Rightarrow G(1) \subseteq G(x \vee x^*). \end{aligned}$$

But $G(x \vee x^*) \subseteq G(1)$, for all $x \in E$. So, $G(1) = G(x \vee x^*)$ for all $x \in E$. Thus G is Boolean.

4.6 Proposition

Every Boolean soft filter F of an MTL-algebra E satisfies the following condition

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

Proof We know that for all $x, y, z \in E$

$$\begin{aligned}
y \rightarrow z &\leq (z^* \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z) \\
&\leq (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) \\
&\Rightarrow y \rightarrow z \leq (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Definition 3.1 that;

$$F(y \rightarrow z) \subseteq F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z))$$

From Theorem 3.3 (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F((x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z))) \\
&\supseteq F((x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)) \\
&\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)) \dots \dots (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
z^* \vee z &= ((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \wedge ((z \rightarrow z^*) \rightarrow z^*) \\
&\leq (z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z \text{ because } a \wedge b \leq a, a \wedge b \leq b
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$z^* \vee z \leq (z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z.$$

$$F((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(z^* \vee z) = F(1) \text{ by Definition 3.1}$$

$$\Rightarrow F((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) = F(1) \dots \dots (2)$$

Since

$$x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z) \leq ((z \rightarrow z^*) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

now it follows from Definition 3.1 (1) that

$$F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) \subseteq F(((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x \rightarrow z) &\supseteq F((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \cap F(((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \text{ by Theorem 3.3} \\
&= F(1) \cap F(((z^* \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \text{ by (2)} \\
&\supseteq F(1) \cap F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\
&= F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow z)) \text{ by Theorem 3.3 (1)} \\
&\supseteq F((x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)) \text{ by (1)} \\
&\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)).
\end{aligned}$$

4.7 Proposition

If a soft filter F of an MTL-algebra E satisfies the following condition

$$F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)$$

for all $x, y \in E$ then it is Boolean.

Proof. As we know that for all $x \in E$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= x \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1) \\
&\leq ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)^* \rightarrow x^* \text{ because } (x \leq x^*) \\
&\leq (x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)^* \rightarrow x \text{ because } (x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \\
&= ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)^* \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \text{ because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\
&= (((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \text{ because } (x^* = x \rightarrow 0) \\
&\Rightarrow 1 = (((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Definition 3.1 (2) and by hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} F(((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) &\supseteq F(((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) \\ &= F(1) \quad \text{because } (1 = (((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) \\ &\Rightarrow F(((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) \supseteq F(1). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$F(((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) \subseteq F(1) \quad \text{by Theorem 3.3}$$

Therefore,

$$F(((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) = F(1).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x &\leq ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \vee ((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x^*) \\ &= (x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow (y \vee z)) = (x \rightarrow y) \vee (x \rightarrow z) \\ &= (1 \wedge (x^* \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*) \quad \text{because } (1 \wedge x = x) \\ &= ((x \rightarrow x) \wedge (x^* \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow x = 1) \\ &= ((x \vee x^*) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*) \quad \text{because } (\bigvee_{i \in Y} y_i) \rightarrow x = \bigwedge_{i \in Y} (y_i \rightarrow x) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \leq ((x \vee x^*) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*).$$

We get,

$$\begin{aligned} F(1) &= F((x^* \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \\ &\subseteq F(((x \vee x^*) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \vee x^*)) \\ &\subseteq F(x \vee x^*) \quad \text{because } (F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)) \\ &\Rightarrow F(1) \subseteq F(x \vee x^*). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.3 (1), i have

$$F(x \vee x^*) \subseteq F(1) \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Therefore,

$$F(x \vee x^*) = F(1).$$

Hence F is a Boolean soft filter of E .

4.8 Proposition

Let F be a soft filter of an MTL-algebra E which satisfies the condition

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$. Then F satisfies the condition

$$F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)$$

for all $x, y \in E$.

Proof. Since

$$(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \leq x^* \rightarrow x$$

and from Definition 3.1, we have

$$F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \subseteq F(x^* \rightarrow x)$$

also, $x = 1 \rightarrow x$

$$F(x) = F(1 \rightarrow x)$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= F(1 \rightarrow x) \\
&\supseteq F(1 \rightarrow (x^* \rightarrow x^*) \cap F(x^* \rightarrow x)) \quad \text{by given condition} \\
&= F((1 \otimes x^*) \rightarrow x^*) \cap F(x^* \rightarrow x) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z \\
&= F(x^* \rightarrow x^*) \cap F(x^* \rightarrow x) \quad \text{because } (1 \otimes x = x) \\
&\supseteq F(1) \cap F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \leq x^* \rightarrow x \\
&= F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \quad \text{because } F(1) \supseteq F(x)
\end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x).$$

4.9 Theorem

Let F be a soft filter of an MTL-algebra E . thus the subsequent assertions are equal.

- (1) F is a Boolean.
- (2) $F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (z^* \rightarrow y)) \cap F(y \rightarrow z)$, For all $x, y, z \in E$.
- (3) $F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)$, For all $x, y \in E$.

Proof Combining the Propositions 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8

4.10 Proposition

If a soft filter F of an MTL-algebra E satisfies,

$$F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)$$

for all $x, y \in E$. Then it satisfies

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \cap F(x \rightarrow y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

Proof. Since we know that for all $x, y, z \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) &= y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\
&\leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y) \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
&\Rightarrow x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Definition 3.1 (2) that

$$F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \subseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)))$$

Also from Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))) \\
&\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y) \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) &\leq x \rightarrow (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \quad \text{because } y \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \\
&= ((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\
&\Rightarrow x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = ((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x \rightarrow z) &\supseteq F(((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \quad \text{because } F(x) \supseteq F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \\
&\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y) \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
&\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)).$$

4.11 Theorem

Every Boolean soft filter F of an MTL-algebra E satisfies the following condition

$$F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$.

Proof. Since we know that for all $x, y, z \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) &= y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\ &\leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) &\leq x \rightarrow (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \quad \text{because } y \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \\ &= (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \quad \text{because } x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \\ &\Rightarrow x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \leq (((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Definition 3.1 and Theorem 4.9 (3)

$$\begin{aligned} F(x \rightarrow z) &\supseteq F(((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))) \quad \text{by Theorem 3.3 (2)} \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \\ &\Rightarrow F(x \rightarrow z) \supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \cap F(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)). \end{aligned}$$

5. MV-SOFT FILTER

In this section, we introduce MV-soft filter of an MTL-algebra. Also we provide the relation between an MV-soft filter and a Boolean soft filter in an MTL-algebra.

5.1 Definition

A soft set (F, E) over U is called an MV-soft filter of an MTL-algebra E if it is a soft filter of E which satisfies the following condition

$$F(x \rightarrow y) \subseteq F(((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$.

5.2 Example

Let $E = \{0, p, q, r, s, 1\}$, where $0 < p < q < s < r < 1$. Define \otimes and \rightarrow as follows

\otimes	0	p	q	r	s	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
p	0	0	0	p	0	p
q	0	0	q	q	q	q
r	0	p	q	r	q	r
s	0	0	q	q	s	s
1	0	p	q	r	s	1

\rightarrow	0	p	q	r	s	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
p	s	1	1	1	1	1
q	p	p	1	1	1	1
r	0	p	s	1	s	1
s	p	q	r	1	1	1
1	0	p	q	r	s	1

Then $E = (E, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a MTL-algebra and let us define a softset (F, E) over U , that is $F : E \rightarrow P(U)$ by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } x \in \{0, p\}, \\ B & \text{if } x \in \{q, s\}, \\ U & \text{if } x \in \{r, 1\}, \end{cases}$$

where $U = \{a, b, c\}$, $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{a, b\}$. Then F is an MV-soft filter of E .

5.3 Theorem

Every Boolean soft filter is an MV-soft filter.

Proof. Let the F is a Boolean soft filter of E . Since

$$y \leq ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y$$

For all $x, y \in E$.

We have

$$(((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \leq y \rightarrow x \quad \text{because } (y \leq x \Rightarrow x \rightarrow z \leq y \rightarrow z)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow y &\leq ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \\ &= (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \quad \text{because } (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \\ &\leq (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \rightarrow (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \quad \text{because } (y \leq x \Rightarrow x \rightarrow z \leq y \rightarrow z) \\ &\Rightarrow x \rightarrow y \leq (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \rightarrow (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \end{aligned}$$

Now, from Proposition 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) &\supseteq F((((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \rightarrow (((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y)) \\ &\supseteq F(x \rightarrow y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$F(x \rightarrow y) \subseteq F(((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y).$$

Hence F is an MV-soft filter of E .

5.4 Remark

The converse of the Theorem u is not true in general,

5.5 Example

Let $E = \{0, r, s, 1\}$ where $0 < r < s < 1$. Define \otimes and \rightarrow as follows

?	0	r	s	1
0	0	0	0	0
r	0	0	0	r
s	0	0	r	s
1	0	r	s	1

\rightarrow	0	r	s	1
0	1	1	1	1
r	s	1	1	1
s	r	s	1	1
1	0	r	s	1

Then $E = (E, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \otimes \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a MTL-algebra. Let us define a softset (F, E) over E , that is $F : E \rightarrow P(E)$ by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} E & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \{a, b, 1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Where $U = \{a, b, c\}$, then F is an MV-soft filter of E . But F is still not a Boolean soft filter of E , because

$$\begin{aligned} r \vee r^* &= r \vee s = s \\ \Rightarrow F(r \vee r^*) &= F(s) \neq F(1). \end{aligned}$$

6. CONCLUSION

We gave characterizations of soft filters and investigated some properties of soft filters in MTL-algebras. In this paper we defined Boolean soft filter and MV-soft filter in MTL-algebras, and studied related properties. We provided a condition for a soft filter to be Boolean. Further research will focus on constructing a quotient MTL-algebra by using a soft filter, on studying prime soft filter.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. I.Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K.Min, M.Shabir.,. On some new operations in soft set theory. *Comput.Math. Appl.* 57 (2009) (9)1547 – 1553 .
- [2] Esteva F., Godo L.: Monoidal t-norm logic: toward a logic for left-continuous t-norms, *Fuzzy sets and systems* 12 (2001) (4) 271– 288.
- [3] M.Haveshki, A. Borumand Saeid, E.Eslami, Some types of filters in BL-algebras, *Soft Comput.* 10 (2006) 657 – 664.
- [4] Y.B.Jun, Yang Xu, Xiao Hong Zhang. Fuzzy filters of MTL-algebras. *Information Sciences* 175 (2005) 120–138.
- [5] K.H. Kim, Q. Zhang and Y.B. Jun, On fuzzy filters of MTL-algebras, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 10 (4) (2002), 981–989.
- [6] P.k.Maji, R.Biswas, A.R.Roy, *Soft Set Theory*, *Comput. Appl.* 45 (2003) 555–562.
- [7] D.Molodtsov. *Soft set theory---First results.* *Comput. Math. Appl.* 37 (1999), 19 – 31.
- [8] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, *Inform Control*, 8 (1965), 338–353.