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ABSTRACT

In this paper, the amounts of some produced radioisotopes such as radiomedicines and actinides, which are either fissile or fertile, in the Miniature Neutron Source Reactor (MNSR) are calculated using radioactive decay equations within one year continues operation of reactor with the neutron flux: \(10^8 n/cm^2 \cdot sec\).

In order to calculate the values of produced radioisotopes, the variations of nucleuses densities of radionuclides have been written through all the differential equations of atom densities variations, then the amounts of the produced radioisotopes at the core of this reactor have been computed by solving the mentioned equations through numerical method and also using the MATLAB software, according to the type of applied fuel and its enrichment percentage (UAL₄ with 90.2 %) within one year. In addition, the burnup of reactor’s fuel has been calculated based on the obtained results.

KEYWORDS: Burnup; Decay equations; Mass; Radioisotope; Reactor.

Nomenclature

\( r \): enrichment of fuel
\( m_f \): mass of fuel
\( m_{ff} \): mass of fissile materials
\( \lambda \): decay constant
\( \phi \): neutron flux \((n/cm^2 \cdot s)\)
\( \sigma_a \): absorption cross section
\( \sigma_c \): capture cross section
\( \dot{Y} \): fission yield
\( P \): power of reactor \((Watt)\)
\( N \): atomic density \((atom)\)
\( M \): atomic mass \((gr)\)
\( A \): Avogadro’s number

1. INTRODUCTION

The prototype of Miniature Neutron Source Reactor (MNSR) is based on SLOWPOKE reactor (Safe Low Power Critical Experiment). The SLOWPOKE reactor is as a research reactor, which has been designed and made in 1960 by AECL (Atomic Energy of Canada Limited). The MNSR is a thermal pool tank reactor with enriched fuel and light water moderator, so that the most important applications of it include: neutron activation analysis (N.A.A), research works, training, production of radioisotopes and material testing. The critical mass of this reactor is very low, in order to hold the reactor in the steady state, the beryllium plates are loaded upon the reactor core. The core of this reactor is submerged at a water pool having dimensions: \((2.5 \times 6)m\) [1].

After fueling at reactor core and operation of it, the radioisotopes and various actinides are produced, therefore calculation of them amongst radiomedicines is very significant within a long interval.

Some of radioisotopes with short half life may be utilized in medical radiation course for the sake of imaging or as radiomedicine generators. Some of applications of radiomedicines are: radiotherapy and brachytherapy in treatment of cancerous tumors and also industrial utilization such as thickness determination and assessment of material quality [2].

There are two important radioisotopes including: Mo⁹⁹ and Tc⁹⁹m, so that these are applied to tag the radiomedicines in nuclear medicine [3].

The main technical characteristics of MNSR reactor are shown in Table 1:
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Table 1. The main characteristics of MNSR reactor [1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of reactor</td>
<td>Pool-tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum thermal power</td>
<td>30KW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>UAl&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrichment</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core shape</td>
<td>Cylindrical with circle shape of fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of U&lt;sup&gt;235&lt;/sup&gt; in fuel</td>
<td>1000gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of core</td>
<td>23cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of core and fuel rods</td>
<td>23cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of fuel pins</td>
<td>4.3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel shape</td>
<td>Pencil shape fuel pins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fuel rods</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time of reactor on maximum power</td>
<td>2.5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux of thermal neutron on maximum power inside of fission chamber</td>
<td>$10^{19} \text{n/cm}^2.\text{sec}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux of thermal neutron on maximum power outside of fission chamber</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{11} \text{n/cm}^2.\text{sec}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. METHODOLOGY

The MNSR reactor is never continuously able to operate more than 2.5 hours in the maximum nominal power that is: 30KW, but it might be unceasing operated on neutron flux: $10^9 \text{n/cm}^2.\text{sec}$ within one year. The diagrams of both production and decay of radioisotopes produced in the MNSR core are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. [4, 5]:

Figure 1. The diagram of production and decay of radioisotopes
In the general state, it can be written:

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \sum_i \int \lambda_i X_i(t) + \phi \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} \sigma_j X_j(t) - (\lambda_i + \sigma \phi) X_i(t) \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., n \quad [5]
\]

Therefore the chain radioactive decay differential equations of atomic density variations are written for each of radioisotopes among radiomedicines, based on the diagram of production and decay of radioisotopes. These equations are shown as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial U^{235}}{\partial t} = -\sigma^{235}_a \cdot \phi \cdot U^{235} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U^{236}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{236}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot U^{235} - \sigma^{234}_a \cdot \phi U^{236} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U^{238}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{239}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot U^{238} + \sigma^{239}_a \cdot \phi U^{238} - \lambda_{\nu^{238}} \cdot U^{237} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U^{236}}{\partial t} = -\sigma^{238}_a \cdot \phi \cdot U^{238} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U^{239}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{239}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot U^{238} - \sigma^{239}_a \cdot \phi U^{239} - \lambda_{\nu^{239}} \cdot U^{239} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Np^{236}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{237}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Np^{237} - \sigma^{236}_a \cdot \phi Np^{236} - \lambda_{\nu^{236}} \cdot Np^{236} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Np^{235}}{\partial t} = \lambda_{\nu^{235}} \cdot U^{237} - \sigma^{235}_a \cdot \phi Np^{235} \quad (8)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Np^{238}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{238}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Np^{237} - \sigma^{238}_a \cdot \phi Np^{238} - \lambda_{\nu^{238}} \cdot Np^{238} \quad (9)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Np^{239}}{\partial t} = \lambda_{\nu^{239}} \cdot U^{239} - \sigma^{239}_a \cdot \phi Np^{239} - \lambda_{\nu^{239}} \cdot Np^{239} \quad (10)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pu^{238}}{\partial t} = \lambda_{\nu^{238}} \cdot Np^{238} - \sigma^{238}_a \cdot \phi Pu^{238} \quad (11)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pu^{239}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{239}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Pu^{238} - \sigma^{239}_a \cdot \phi Pu^{239} + \lambda_{\nu^{239}} \cdot Np^{239} \quad (12)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pu^{240}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{240}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Pu^{239} - \sigma^{240}_a \cdot \phi Pu^{240} + \sigma^{240}_\gamma \cdot \phi Pu^{240} + \sigma^{240}_a \cdot \phi Np^{239} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pu^{241}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{241}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Pu^{240} - \sigma^{241}_a \cdot \phi Pu^{241} - \lambda_{\nu^{241}} \cdot Np^{241} \quad (14)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Pu^{242}}{\partial t} = \sigma^{242}_\gamma \cdot \phi \cdot Pu^{241} - \sigma^{242}_a \cdot \phi Pu^{242} \quad (15)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Am^{241}}{\partial t} = \lambda_{\nu^{241}} \cdot Pu^{241} - \lambda_{\nu^{241}} \cdot Am^{241} - \sigma^{241}_a \cdot \phi Am^{241} \quad (16)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial Rb}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Rb}}}{\gamma} - \lambda_{\text{Rb}} Rb
\] (17)
\[
\frac{\partial Rb}{\partial t} = \sigma \phi Rb - \lambda_{\text{Rb}} Rb
\] (18)
\[
\frac{\partial Sr}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Sr}}}{\gamma} + \lambda_{\text{Sr}} Rb - \lambda_{\text{Sr}} Sr
\] (19)
\[
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Y}}}{\gamma} + \lambda_{\text{Y}} Sr - \lambda_{\text{Y}} Y
\] (20)
\[
\frac{\partial Zr}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Zr}}}{\gamma} + \lambda_{\text{Zr}} Y - \lambda_{\text{Zr}} Zr
\] (21)
\[
\frac{\partial Nb}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Nb}}}{\gamma} + 0.625 \lambda_{\text{Nb}} Zr - \lambda_{\text{Nb}} Nb
\] (22)
\[
\frac{\partial Nb}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Nb}}}{\gamma} + 0.375 \lambda_{\text{Nb}} Zr - \lambda_{\text{Nb}} Nb
\] (23)
\[
\frac{\partial Mo}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Mo}}}{\gamma} + \lambda_{\text{Mo}} Nb - \lambda_{\text{Mo}} Mo
\] (24)
\[
\frac{\partial Tc}{\partial t} = \frac{Y_{\text{Tc}}}{\gamma} + 0.875 \lambda_{\text{Tc}} Mo - \lambda_{\text{Tc}} Tc
\] (25)

3. RESULTS

After writing all the chain radioactive decay differential equations, these equations are numerically solved using the MATLAB software and through the initial information of primary fuel mass, thereby obtaining the atomic density of each of considered radioisotopes sequentially and consequently obtaining the fuel burnup [6]. Finally, the mass of each produced radioisotope \( m \) is obtained, according to obtained atomic density of all the mentioned radioisotopes and also based on this formula: \( m = \frac{N M}{A} \) [7].

The masses of radioisotopes and radiomedicines produced in the MNSR core after one year operation are shown in Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. The obtained masses of radioisotopes produced in the MNSR core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Rb}} = 6.51 \times 10^{-11} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Sr}} \approx 0 ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Y}} = 5.54 \times 10^{-9} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Zr}} = 5.01 \times 10^{-7} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Mo}} = 3.7 \times 10^{-6} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Zr}} = 3.72 \times 10^{-6} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Nb}} = 1.21 \times 10^{-4} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Mo}} = 1.064 ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{Pu}} = 0.114 ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{U}} = 999.335 ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{U}_{236}} = 2.75 \times 10^{-3} ) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{U}_{235}} = 8.2 \times 10^{-7} ) gr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. CONCLUSION

The obtained results from calculation indicate that the MNSR reactor on continuous neutron flux: $10^9 n/cm^2.sec$, which is a low neutron flux, spends only 0.0665% of primary fresh fuel after one year operation. Therefore, more than 99% of existent fuel remains entirely at reactor core. According to Table 2, as it is observed, due to being low neutron flux, the amounts of some produced radioisotopes are very slight.

The fuel burnup after one year operation of reactor can also be obtained through the results.

Since the produced energy per fission of all the nucleuses of 1gr $U^{235}$ is $8.195 \times 10^{10} J$, the produced power during 24 hours will be: $9.5 \times 10^7 Watt$. Therefore:

\[
\text{Burnup} = 9.5 \times 10^7 m_u = 9.5 \times 10^7 r.m
\]  

According to above equation, the fuel burnup of MNSR reactor will be: $1731 Watt.d/gr$, whereas the initial applied mass of $U^{235}$ in MNSR, which is as the main fissile, is 1kg and its spent amount is: 0.665gr.
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