

J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res., 2(4)3968-3972, 2012 © 2012, TextRoad Publication

ISSN 2090-4304 Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research www.textroad.com

An Investigation of Effective Factors on Educational Quality of Master of Science Courses in Universities of Iran

SevedkeramatHosseini

PhD Student of Educational Sciences, State University of Sadr al-Din Eini, Tajikistan

ABSTRACT

Educational quality is one of the most effective factors on educational management. The aim of this paper is finding solutions for increasing educational quality of Master of Science courses in universities of Iran. This paper has used questionaries' students and masters in TarbiatModares University in Iran. Results from statistical tests indicate that efficient management in university, the method of choice of masters in university, assessment methods of performance of masters, methods of acceptance students, new method of teaching and improvement of teaching planning have influence on educational quality of master of science courses in universities of Iran.

KEYWORDS: Educational Quality, Master of Science, Iran.

1. INTRODUCTION

This definition of quality of education allows for an understanding of education as a complex system embedded in a political, cultural and economic context. This paper will examine research related to these dimensions. It is important to keep in mind education's systemic nature, however; these dimensions are interdependent, influencing each other in ways that are sometimes unforeseeable.

A number or reviews of research similar to that being carried out here have recently been conducted. These include the research consortium EdQual whose initial literature reviews (Yu 2007; Barrett et al. 2007) cover some of the literature that should be reviewed in a study such as this. In addition, the Association for the Development of Education in Africa (ADEA) in 2003, commissioned considerablework on education quality, resulting in a publication which contains several reviews of research (Verspoor 2005). Similarly, as a background paper to the evaluation of World Bank-supported primary education projects (Independent Evaluation Group 2006) another review of research into education quality and effectiveness was carried out (Boissiere 2004), and the Education for All Global Monitoring Report devoted ts 2005 edition (UNESCO 2005) to education quality, also commissioning many research reviews of interest (e.g. Benson 2004; De Grauwe 2004; Dembélé and Miaro 2003; Gauthier and Dembélé 2004). It should be noted that the lack of a methodological critique in several of these reviews makes the

reporting of their findings problematic for culling 'relevant' research results. The EdQual review "Research Evidence of School Effectiveness in Sub-Saharan African Countries" (Yu 2007) provides an overview of some of the reviews of such work carried out prior to 2007, as well as reviewing a set of individual studies. Of course, the focus is on sub-Saharan Africa, and not all developing countries. The lack of critique of the statistical validity of the results reviewed should be noted, however. In reviewing, for instance, the 1994 Fuller and Clarke review, no mention is made either of theresearch designs of the studies reviewed, or the effect sizes of the different variables, so one is at a loss to evaluate the meaning, no less the significance of the three factors reported as being attributed with "consistent school effects", namely, 1) the availability of textbooks and supplementary reading materials; 2) teachers' subject knowledge and verbal abilities; and 3) instructional time and the work demands placed on students (Yu 2007:10). As in several other reviews of school effectiveness research which wedeal with below, what is reported is merely a list of variables and then the vote tally of the number of significant effects/number of analyses. This is clearly insufficient. For instance, when Yu reports that "class size and teacher salaries had inconsistent or no effects on student academic achievement," it is essential to ask what is consistency or inconsistency if those factors which are judged in the review asbeing significant are found to be influential irrespective of the validity of the research designTheEdQual paper also covers Hanushek (1997), which, like the Fuller and Clarke review, similarly utilizes a vote tally method to look at the consistency of research results. But it draws an even more worryingconclusion, namely, that "there are no clear and systematic relationships between key inputs and studentperformance" (Yu: 12). Yu asserts that Hanushek's review "challenges the conventional view that schoolresources are relatively more important than families in developing countries than in rich countries".

^{*}Corresponding Author: SeyedkeramatHosseini, PhD Student of Educational Sciences, State University of Sadr al-Din Eini, Tajikistan

The marginal efficiency of different inputs is likely to be much greater in developing countries than inindustrialised countries precisely because of the scarcity value of certain school resources, as correctlypointed out in Yu's review of Heneveld (1994). Whether a school has a blackboard, for instance, may matter much more than whether there are sufficient textbooks, in contexts in which very few schoolshave sufficient textbooks, so that the isolated factor of a blackboard increases in significance. Added to these reviews are those of Kellaghan and Greaney (2001), who Yu refers to as recommending the use of student assessment to "engineer change at the levels of educational policy and teaching practiceto enhance quality," (Yu: 14) and Lockheed and Levin (1993), who identify various "necessary inputs; facilitating conditions; and the will to change and act" in creating effective schools in developing countries. (Yu: 15)More detailed lists of variables are reported by Yu in the further reviews examined, viz. Pennycuick(1993), Scheerens (2000a;b) and Velez et al. (1993). He comments on Scheerens' observation that thereis "inconclusive and weak evidence on the effect of instructional factors that have received empirical support in industrialized countries" (Yu: 15). Further, Yu examines the Velez et al. review (1993), anothervote tally across quantitative research in Latin American and the Caribbean. The 'positive', policy manipulable variables, albeit across a variety of unreported research designs and models, comprised: active teaching methods; access to textbooks and other instructional materials; pre-service formal education (asopposed to in-service training); basic infrastructure; teacher experience, subject knowledge and closenessto school; time on task and curriculum coverage; student attitudes; preschool; homework practices, including parental involvement; and school size. The two factors negatively related to academic achievementwere distance to school; and grade repetition and overage pupils.

Yu finally reports on the review of school effectiveness research carried out by Boissiere (2004) as a background paper for the evaluation of the World Bank's support to primary education (IndependentEvaluation Group 2006). Boissiere identifies the following five categories of "determinants of primaryeducation outcomes:" 1) hardware (e.g. school building, classroom and furniture, sanitation); 2) software(e.g. curriculum, pedagogy, textbooks, writing materials); 3) teachers; 4) management and institutional structure; and 5) context and background variables. In his brief review, Yu does not highlight some crucial comments made by Boissiere himself about the research literature itself, however. For instance Boissiere notes that over the years few clear-cut results have been uncovered and that "decision-makersstill have to fall back upon their experience and practical judgement." (1) Additionally, although Boissiereadvises that private schools, vouchers and decentralization strategies benefiting the poor be tried out, hecomments that "success depends greatly upon the political context of a country's education system and the institutional history of education in a given country". As "there are not many rigorous studies to draw upon at the primary education level, so care must be used in extrapolating results of studies fromhigh- and middleincome countries." Indeed, this is where the EdQual review leads itself, the purpose ofthe review being to help to define the research focus of the consortium. Yu writes: "ignoring the differentcontexts when interpreting and implementing research findings would be irresponsible and unlikely toachieve intended outcomes" (Yu:12). However, Boissiere (2004: 26), does acknowledge the methodological weaknesses of the studies reviewed, and refers to Glewwe's argument (2002) that "if a number of goodconventional studies agree on the significance of an input, there might be good reason to believe in acausal connection. His main caveat is that there are too few good conventional EPF (education productionfunction) studies" (quoted by Boissiere 2004: 5).

The aim of this paper is finding solutions for increasing educational quality of Master of Science courses in universities of Iran.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This paper replies the following questions:

- 1. How much efficient management in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses?
- 2. How much choice of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 3. How much assessment methods of performance of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 4. How much methods of acceptance students in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 5. How much new method of teaching in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 6. How much improvement of teaching planning in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

This paper has used questionaries' students and masters in TarbiatModares University in Iran. Sample is as following table:

Table 1. Society and Sample for this study

| Sample | Statistical Society | Group |
|--------|---------------------|----------|
| 108 | 151 | Masters |
| 306 | 1474 | Students |
| 414 | 1624 | Total |

We have used statistical test as x^2 test for analyzing results.

3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

First question: How much efficient management in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses?

Results indicate that:

Students' emphasis on Islamic environment, human and respectful campus emphasis on educational quality and try to raise the standards of education and science (with the construction of libraries, learning centers and work yards ...) against any threats, violence and the arbitrary behavior, university degrees in the university president, faculty and heads of departments, a strong emphasis on management aspects of the university president, faculty and heads of departments, the emphasis on the creation of human relationships with faculty and students of the university, faculty and administrators.

Second question: How much choice of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

Empirical results indicate that Long-term planning for teacher training courses will contribute to the scientific richness. Perhaps the most important tasks of the University and the University specialists and skilled population is needed. The long-term planning for teacher training and a great role in increasing the quality of university education and postgraduate courses will be particularly.

One of the things on which there is significant disagreement between the views of teachers and students, is using the techniques of participatory management and administration of the council.

Third question: how much assessment methods of performance of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

One of the things on which there is significant disagreement between the views of teachers and students is using the techniques of participatory management and administration of the council.

Teacher selection is based on research, teaching, research and writing for his significant is role in the development of high quality postgraduate education courses.

Performance evaluation system of professors to students believed to greatly increase the quality of postgraduate education

Fourth question: How much methods of acceptance students in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

Decentralized approach to student selection and revision of the graduate student selection has less effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses.

Fifth question: How much new method of teaching in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

Develop courses and teaching methods for teacher's assessment postgraduate students and faculty believe more effective in increasing the quality of postgraduate education.

Teaching methods and evaluation of each lesson the students by teachers to be highly effective training has a most effect on educational quality.

Sixth question: How much improvement of teaching planning in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

4. Conclusion and Suggestions

The aim of this paper is finding solutions for increasing educational quality of Master of Science courses in universities of Iran.

1. How much efficient management in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses?

- 2. How much choice of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 3. How much assessment methods of performance of masters in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 4. How much methods of acceptance students in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 5. How much new method of teaching in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?
- 6. How much improvement of teaching planning in university effect on educational quality of Master of Science courses in viewpoint of students and masters?

This paper has used questionaries' students and masters in TarbiatModares University in Iran.Students' emphasis on Islamic environment, human and respectful campus emphasis on educational quality and try to raise the standards of education and science (with the construction of libraries, learning centers and work yards ...) against any threats, violence and the arbitrary behavior, university degrees in the university president, faculty and heads of departments, a strong emphasis on management aspects of the university president, faculty and heads of departments, the emphasis on the creation of human relationships with faculty and students of the university, faculty and administrators.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barrett, A. et al., 2007, "Initiatives to Improve the Quality of Teaching and Learning: A Review of RecentLiterature", Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2008.
- 2. Benson, C., 2004, "The Importance of Mother Tongue-based Schooling for Educational Quality", Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2005: The QualityImperative.
- 3. Boissiere, M., 2004, "Determinants of Primary Education Outcomes in Developing Countries:Background Paper for the Evaluation of the World Bank's Support to Primary Education", OperationsEvaluation Department, The World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- 4. Cullen, D., Pearson, M., Saha, L. J., & Spear, R. H. (1994). Establishing effective PhD supervision. Canberra: AGPS.
- 5. De Grauwe, A., 2004, "School-Based Management (SBM): Does it Improve Quality?", Backgroundpaper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2005: The Quality Imperative.
- 6. Dembélé, M. and Miaro B.R., 2003, "Pedagogical Renewal and Teacher Development in Sub-SaharanAfrica", Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2005: TheQuality Imperative.
- 7. Fuller, B. and Clarke, P., 1994, "Raising School Effects While Ignoring Culture? Local Conditions and the Influence of Classroom Tools, Rules and Pedagogy", Review of Educational Research, 64: 119-157.
- 8. Gauthier, C. and Dembélé, M., 2004, "Quality of Teaching and Quality of Education: A Review ofResearch Findings", Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report2005: The Quality Imperative.
- 9. Hanushek. E.A., 1997, "Assessing the Effects of School Resources on Student Performance: An Update", Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 19(2): 141-164.
- 10. Heneveld, W., 1994, "Planning and Monitoring the Quality of Primary Education in Sub-Saharan
- 11. Africa", Technical Department, Africa Region, The World Bank, Washington, D.C., March.
- 12. Kellaghan, T. and Greaney, V., 2001, Using Assessment to Improve the Quality of Education, IIEP, UNESCO, Paris.
- 13. Lockheed, M.E. and Levin, H.M., 1993, "Creating Effective Schools", in H.M. Levin and M.E.
- 14. Lockheed (eds.), Effective Schools in Developing Countries, TheFalmer Press (with the World Bank), London: 1-19.
- 15. Pennycuick, D., 1993, School Effectiveness in Developing Countries: A Summary of the Research Evidence, Overseas Development Administration, London.
- 16. Scheerens, J., 2000a, Improving School Effectiveness, IIEP, UNESCO, Paris.
- 17. Scheerens, J., 2001, "Monitoring School Effectiveness in Developing Countries", School Effectivenessand School Improvement, 12: 359-84.

- 18. Scheerens, J., 2000b, "School Effectiveness in Developed and Developing Countries: A Review of the Research Evidence", The World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- 19. UNESCO, 2005, EFA Global Monitoring Report 2005: Education For All: The Quality Imperative, Paris: UNESCO.
- 20. Velez, E., Schiefelbein, E., and Valenzuela, J., 1993, "Factors Affecting Achievement in Primary
- 21. Education: A Review of the Literature for Latin America and the Caribbean, Department of Human Resources Development and Operations Policy, The World Bank, Washington, D. C.
- 22. Verspoor, A.M., 2005, The Challenge of Learning: Improving the Quality of Basic Education in SubSaharan Africa, Association for the Development of Education in Africa (ADEA), Paris.
- 23. Yu, G., 2007, Research Evidence of School Effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Countries ,EdQual, University of Bristol, July.