J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res., 2(2)1967-1971, 2012

ISSN 2090-4304
Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research
www.textroad.com

The Investigation of Relevance and Reliability Effects on Earnings Quality in Accepted Companies of Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE)

Abbas Vahedi[1] , Mojtaba Afsordeh[2] , Ahmad Kakeh[3]

Department of Accounting, Dehloran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Dehloran, Iran

ABSTRACT

Quantitative characteristics related to information contents are relevance and reliability. In this research we examine the effect of Relevance and Reliability on Earnings Quality in Accepted Companies of Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE) using Panel Data approach over time 2006-2010. In this regard these companies are divided in two groups (first with high earnings quality and second with low earnings quality). Results showed that Earnings Response coefficient (ERC) and explanatory power (R^2) in firms' portfolio with high relevance and reliability of earnings quality are higher than firms' portfolio with low relevance and reliability of earnings quality.

KEY WORDS: Relevance, Reliability, Earnings Quality, Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE).

1. INTRODUCTION

Accountants, make available to users financial information relating to the economic unit in the form of accounting reports using concepts, specific rules and procedures. Gains and losses reporting of a business unit is a variety of economic information that accountants can provide and users have special attention them. In the last row of gains and losses reporting, the amount of net earnings of economic unit is provided (Byard et al., 2006). Users can figure based on reported earnings, prospects of economic performance and its future prospects. Also one of the important features of accounting reports is its comparability with previous units' reports and reports of similar economic units. The question is: do all the functional features of economic units can be reflected in the amount of accounting earnings or not? Or is case such as the business risk reflected in net earnings? And is disable the net profit amount alone in expressing some important functional characteristics, particularly non-quantitative features? Suitable ground for the manipulation of earnings, inherent limitations of accounting and Possibility of using multiple methods of accounting has caused that the real profit of a firm is differ from reported earnings in financial statements. Because of earnings importance as one of the most important performance criteria and the value of economic businesses determinant, Professional accounting researchers and practitioners have to assess reported earnings by businesses (Chan et al., 2006). To evaluate these earnings, the concept of earnings quality is used. In various articles on the concept of earnings quality, there are two features to determine of earnings quality. One is benefit of the decision that has been provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and another is a connection between this concept and economic earnings (Byard et al., 2006). High quality shows that the usefulness of the earnings information for decisions of users and it's more consistent with the economic earnings. Some financial analysts are considered earnings quality as a continuous and ordinary benefit, Repeatable and creating cash flow from operations. Financial experts have been unable so far to achieve an independent measure of earnings that from their perspective have suitable quality (Jenkins et al., 2006). So, the concept of earnings quality is not a defined and proved thing that it can be achieved easily but it is a relative concept that depends on its relationship with perceptions and attitudes (Harris, 2000).

In finance and accounting texts, some of the properties of businesses have been identified that their existence leads to an increase in earnings quality. If a company has the following characteristics, then its quality will be higher:

- Stable and conservative accounting methods
- Income before taxes from operating and repeatable activities
- Achieving a level of profit and growth rates, independent of tax considerations (for example: reducing tax rates will lead to tax exemption).
- Having an appropriate level of debt
- having an appropriate capital structure
- Corporate profits are not related to inflation

***Corresponding Author:** Abbas Vahedi, Department of Accounting, Dehloran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Dehloran, Iran.

As mentioned, there are not the same definitions for the term earnings quality. Many studies in developed countries have confirmed that net earnings reported by enterprises have content information; but about the "uniform definition of the earnings" little study has been done. So the basic question is how to overcome the faults of earnings and such earnings that the so-called earnings quality has an important role in economic decisions and its use is very important in the Iran current economy. Other ways accounting choices can lower a firm's earnings quality include

- Recording revenue too soon or of questionable quality,
- Recording fictitious revenue,
- Boosting income with one-time gains,
- Shifting current expense to a different period,
- Failing to record or improperly reducing liabilities,
- Shifting current revenue to a later period, and
- Shifting future expenses to the current period as a special charge

Quantitative characteristics related to information contents are relevance and reliability.

I) Relevance

Information is considered relevant that is effective in the economic decisions of users in the evaluation of events past, present and future. This information should be verifiable and predictable. The value can be predicted. It should also be provided in a timely manner. The concept of predictive value is extracted from investment value determination model. If information is not timely, then cannot affect the decisions. That information should be available to the decision maker before it can lose its effectiveness.

II) Reliability

For useful information, they should also be reliable. Information are reliable that have no fault. According the theoretical framework of accounting standards of Iran, Components of reliability are the completeness, impartiality, caution and honesty.

Honesty: Information must provide the transactions effect and other events alleged honestly. If the information reflects actual transactions and other events, this information should be considered based on the economic content and reality.

Impartiality: Information in financial statements should be impartial. If choosing or providing of this information causes decisions or pre-determined judgments, the financial statements are not impartial.

Caution: caution is application of the degree of care which it is needed in the judge for estimations in ambiguity situations; So that income or assets not deliver more than they actually are and also costs or debt not provide less than they present.

Completeness: The information contained in financial statements should be provided with respect to the quality of resources and expenditures. Deleting some data may be making false information be misleading (Velury et al., 2006).

In this regard, the main research questions are as follows:

- Is the quality of earnings can be useful to decision-makings of investors?
- Do the relevant and reliable information of financial statements increase the earnings quality?

According to existing theory and research background, questions have been developed as follows:

- Is Earnings Response coefficient (ERC) in firms' portfolio with high relevance and reliability of earnings quality higher than firms' portfolio with low relevance and reliability of earnings quality?
- Is explanatory power (R^2) for evaluate of market price in firms' portfolio with high relevance and reliability of earnings quality higher than firms' portfolio with low relevance and reliability of earnings quality?

After this section, in section 2, literature review is presented. Methodology and data are delivered in section3. Results will be analyzed in section 4 and finally Conclusion is presented in section 5.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Dechow (1994) in a study concluded that accruals will reflect short-term performance of company better than cash flows. By analyzing in a short period, he showed that accruals can be reflected in the stock returns rather than the cash. Sloan (1996) in his study states that companies with high accruals, reducing operating profit will face in coming years. So the size of accruals is a suitable criterion for evaluating earnings quality. Barth et al., (2001) determined coefficients estimated of the regression of price - return for determining the relevancy and reliability of information and showed that the accounting information are reflected in prices when these information are for investors relevant and reliable. Konan (2001) in an article entitled "Quality of earnings and stock returns," states that

the accruals with high earnings quality have low stock returns; so their stock return will be weak. The weakness of relationship between earnings and returns are associated with low earning quality. If the earnings are closer to cash flow, its accruals will be lower and quality will be higher. Dechow and Divhev (2002) in a study entitled "The quality of accruals and earnings: The accrual estimation error", offer a new criterion for evaluating the earnings quality. They show that visible characteristics of companies can be as a tool to assess the quality of accruals. Francis et al., (2002) in their study investigated relationship between earnings quality and cost of debt and equity, and showed that companies with lower earnings quality have lower debt rates and higher interest rate of costs than firms with high earnings quality. Schipper and Vincent (2003) investigated the relationship between criteria of evaluating of earning quality and usefulness in decision making and economic earnings definition. They showed that the earnings quality depends on contracts based on accounting information. Scholer (2004) relates the earnings quality with accruals and to assess earnings quality uses accruals. He also states that the capital market reflects information about earnings quality in prices. Francis et al (2004) in their study assessed the relationship between capital cost and seven properties of earnings quality. These criteria include Accruals quality, stability, predictability, smoothness, relevancy, timeliness and conservatism. The results show that with low earnings quality, capital costs would be higher. Aboody et al., (2005) in a research titled "Earning quality, insider trading and cost of capital" investigated the relationship between the cost of capital and information asymmetry. The main findings of this study include "Information asymmetry is priced by the market", "there is a negative link between earnings quality and cost of capital" and "Aware people use confidential information efficiently". Barua (2006) considered two criteria for measuring the earnings quality. These two criteria are relevance and reliability. Results of this study are that high quality lead to Investors can better able to make their investment decisions. Also higher earnings quality makes useful the accounting information for decision makers.

3. Methodology and data

The research model is as follows:

$$\Pr ice_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 BVE_{it} + \beta_2 EPS_{it} + \beta_3 EPS.DE_{it} + \beta_4 EPS.EVAR_{it} + \varepsilon_t$$

$\Pr ice_{it}$: Price of each Share

BVE_{it} : Book value of equity divided by the number of shares released

EPS_{it} : Earnings per share before unexpected items and discontinued operations

DE_{it} : Debt to capital ratio

$EVAR_{it}$: standard deviation of EPS

ε : Model's Residuals

To do this work, companies listed on the stock exchange have been considered as the statistical community and Sample was extracted from these companies. Sample includes companies that have the following conditions:

- Companies are accepted before 1383 in Tehran Stock Exchange.
- The companies that end their fiscal year in Persian date Esfand 29 per year.
- These companies in the course may not stop or change the fiscal period.
- These companies are not included in investment firms.

4. RESULTS

Tables 1 and 2 represent the estimations of variables effect on stock price in tow group.

Table1: estimation result for companies with high earning quality

P-Value	t	Standard error	coefficient	Variable Name
0.0000	12.1776	23.5430	286.697	BVE
0.0000	41.3853	0.0651	2.693	EPS
0.0156	-2.4386	0.0119	-0.029	EPS.DE
0.2937	1.0527	0.0589	0.062	EPS.EVAR
			0.98	$\overline{R}^2$
			1.85	$D.W$

Table2: estimation result for companies with low earning quality

P-Value	t	Standard error	coefficient	Variable Name
0.0000	24.0806	29.8377	718.510	BVE
0.0000	21.0428	0.1234	2.597	EPS
0.0000	-4.5821	0.0317	-0.145	EPS.DE
0.3914	0.8588	0.1019	0.088	EPS.EVAR
			0.96	$\overline{R}^2$
			2.20	$D.W$

Results show that for companies with high and low earnings quality, variable of Book value of equity divided by the number of shares released and Earnings per share have positive effect on stock price. Also Debt to capital ratio has significant negative effect on dependent variables. Finally standard deviation of EPS has no significant effect on stock price. In other hand, Correlation coefficient for companies with high earnings quality is larger than Correlation coefficient for companies with high earnings quality, so first hypothesis is accepted. Also Earnings Response coefficient (ERC) in firms' portfolio with high relevance and reliability is greater than firms' portfolio with high relevance and reliability and second hypothesis is not rejected too.

5. Conclusion

Earnings quality, in accounting, the question is why not financial analysts use in the evaluation of earnings from reported net earnings or earnings per share. The answer is that, in determining the value of the company, we focus quantify and quality of earnings. Earnings quality means here the underlying potential earnings growth, stability, sustainability and the realization of potential future earnings. In other words, value of company's each share depends not only on earnings per share in current year, but depends on expectations of future of company, strength of profitability of future profits. In this research we find that companies with high earnings quality have better result than companies with low earnings quality, so in these companies, correlation coefficient and Earnings Response coefficient (ERC) are more than others.

REFERENCES

- Aboody, D., J., Hughes and J., Liu, (2005): "Earning quality, insider trading and cost of capital", Journal of Accounting Research, 43(5), 651-673.
- Barth, E., H., Beaver and R., Landsman (2001): "The relevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting: another view", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31, 77-104.
- Barua, A., (2006): "Using the FASB's qualitative characteristics in earning quality measures", ProQuest Information and Learning Company, UMI Number, 32, 43-81.
- Byard, D., Y., Li and J., Weintrop (2006): "Corporate governance and the quality of financial analysts' information", Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 25, 609-625.
- Callaway, C., A., Lulsegeg and T., Nowlin (2002): "Earning quality and auditor independence: an examination using non-audit fee data", Working paper, Florida state university, Available online: SSRN Website.
- Chan, K., N., Jegadeesh and J., Lakonishok (2006): "Earning quality and stock returns", Journal of Business, 79(3), 46-58.
- Dechow, M. (1994): "Accounting earning and cash flow as measures of firm performance: the role of accounting accruals", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 18(1), 3-42.
- Dechow, M., and I., Dichev (2002): "The quality of accruals and earnings: the role of accrual estimation errors", The Accounting Review, 77(1), 35-59.
- Francis, J., R., Lafond, P., Olsson and K., Schipper (2002): "The market pricing of earning quality", Working paper, Duke University, Available online: SSRN Website.
- Francis, J., R., Lafond, P., Olsson and K., Schipper (2004): "Cost of equity and earning attributes", The Accounting Review, 79(4), 967-1010.
- Ghosh, A., Z., Gu and P., Jain (2004): "Sustained Earnings and Revenue Growth, Earnings Quality, and Earnings Response Coefficients", Working paper, City University of New York, Available on line: SSRN Website.

- Harris, T., E., Huh and P., Fairfield (2000): "Gauging profitability on the Road to valuation strategy report", Global Valuation and Accounting, Morgan Stanley Dean.
- Jenkins, D., G., Kane, and U., Velury (2006): "Earning quality decline and the effect of industry specialist auditors: An analysis of the late 1990s", Journal of Accounting and Public policy, 25, 71-90.
- Konan S., (2001): "Earning quality and stock returns", Working paper, National Taiwan University, Department of Finance, 4(1), 103-112.
- Penman, S., (2001): "Financial statement Analysis and security valuation, New York, MY: mc grow – Hill, Irwin.
- Schipper, K., and L., Vincent (2003): "Earning quality", accounting horizons, 17, 97-110.
- Scholer, F., (2004): "The quality of accruals and earnings and the market pricing of earning quality", Working paper, Financial reporting research group, Department of Accounting, Finance and Logistics.
- Sloan, R., (1996): "Do stock prices fully reflect information and accruals and cash flows about future earning", The Accounting Review, 71, 289-315.
- Velury, U., and D., Jenkins (2006): "Institutional ownership and the quality of earnings", Journal of Business Research, 59, 1043-1051.