

# Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences (JAEBS)



**An International Peer-reviewed journal**

Number of issues per year: 12

ISSN (Print): 2090-4274

ISSN (Online): 2090-4215

[Home](#)[Journals](#)[Contact Us](#)

# TEXTROAD JOURNALS

## Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences



*J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci.*, Vol. 12 No. 1: pp. 1-13, Year 2022

### **Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences (JAEBS)**

Monthly Publication



Number of issues per year: 12

ISSN: 2090-4274 (Print)

ISSN: 2090-4215 (Online)

**Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences (JAEBS)** is a peer reviewed, open access international scientific journal dedicated for rapid publication of high quality original research articles as well as review articles in the all areas of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences.

#### **Scope**

**Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences (JAEBS)** is devoted to the monthly publication of research papers of outstanding significance in the all fields of environmental sciences, environmental engineering, environmental Pollution, green chemistry, environmentally friendly synthetic pathways, alternatively fuels, environmental analytical chemistry, biomolecular tools and tracers, water and soil, environmental [management, economics, humanities], Mathematics, multidisciplinary aspects such as Business Management, Organizational Behavior, all areas of biological sciences, including cell biology, developmental biology, structural biology, microbiology, molecular biology & genetics, biochemistry, biotechnology, biodiversity, ecology, marine biology, plant biology, bioinformatics, toxicology, developmental biology, structural biology, microbiology, molecular biology & genetics, biotechnology, biodiversity and related fields. The journal presents the latest developments in the fields of environmental social marketing, environmental journalism, environmental education, sustainability education, environmental interpretation, and environmental health communication.

# Editorial Board

## Editor -in-Chief

**William Ebomoyi**

Ph.D., Professor, Department of Health Studies, College of Health Sciences, Chicago State University, USA.

E-mail: [editor@textroad.com](mailto:editor@textroad.com)

## Associate Editors

**Prof. Dr. Sanaa T. El-Sayed**

Ex Head of Biochemistry Department, Professor of Biochemistry, Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology Division, National I Centre, Egypt

**Saeid Chekani Azar**

PhD of Veterinary Physiology; Faculty of Veterinary, Department of Physiology, Ataturk University, Erzurum 25010, Turkey

**Prof. Dr. Sarwoko Mangkoedihardjo**

Professor, Professional Engineer of Indonesian Society of Sanitary and Environmental Engineers, Indonesia

**Prof. Dr. Ashraf Latif Tadross**

Head of Astronomy Department, Professor of Star Clusters and Galactic Structure, National Research Institute of Astronomy Geophysics (NRIAG), 11421 Helwan, Cairo, Egypt.

**Dr. Chandrasekar Raman**

Research Associate, Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics, Biotechnology Core Facility, 238, Burt Hall, Kar University, Manhattan 66506, KS, USA.

**Dr. YUBAO CUI**

Associate Professor, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yancheng Health Vocational & Technical College, Jiangsu Provin P. R. China

**Dr. Muhammad Altaf Khan**

Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan Pakistan

**Dr. Fahrettin Tilki**

Assoc. Professor, Artvin Coruh University, Faculty of Forestry, Department of Forest Science, Artvin, TURKEY.

**Dr. Ibtisam abd el ghany hammad**

Associate Professor of Genetics, Faculty of Science, Helwan University. Egypt.

**Dr. Charalambos Tsekeris**

Department of Psychology, Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens, Greece.

**Dr. Elsayed E. Hafez**

Associate Professor, Molecular Biology, Plant Molecular Pathology & Arid Lands Institute, Egypt.

**Dr. Naushad Mamode Khan**

University of Mauritius, Reduit, Mauritius.

**Mirza Hasanuzzaman**

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

**Dr. Hala Ahmed Hafez Kandil**

Professor Researcher, National Research Centre, Plant Nutrition Dept. El-Bhouth St. Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

**Dr. Yule Yue Wang**

Biotechnology and Medicinal Biochemistry, Division of Life Science, The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology

**Dr. Aziza Sharaby**

Professor of Entomology. Plant Protection Department, National Research Center. Cairo, Egypt.

**Dr. Sulaiman**

Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Abdul wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

## **Editors**

### **Maulin P Shah**

PhD-Microbiology, Chief Scientist & Head Industrial Waste Water Research Laboratory, Division of Applied & Environmental Microbiology, Enviro Technology Limited, Ankleshwar-393002, Gujarat, **India**

### **Dr. Josphert N. Kimatu**

Department of Biological Sciences. South Eastern University College, **Kenya**.

### **Dr. Mukesh Kumar Meena**

Assistant Professor (Crop Physiology), Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584104, Karnataka , **India**

### **Jehngir Khan**

Lecturer in Zoology Department, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan (AWKUM), Buner Campus, Buner, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, **Pakistan**.

### **Syed Muhammad Nurulain**

Medical Research Specialist, FMHS, UAE University, **Emirates**

### **Dr. Ayman Batisha**

Environment and Climate Research Institute, National Water Research Center, Cairo, **Egypt**.

### **Dr. Hakeem Ullah**

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan **Pakistan**.

### **DR. DATTA ASARAM DHALE**

Assistant Professor, Post Graduate Department of Botany, Ghogrey Science College, Dhule, Maharashtra State, **India**.

### **Dr. Muhammad Ismail Mohmand**

Tutor/Administrator in the Excellence Training Den College in Newcastle, **United Kingdom**

### **Prof. Dr. Valdenir José Belinelo**

Department of Health Sciences and Postgraduate Program in Tropical Agriculture, Federal University of Espirito Santo (UFES),  
São Mateus, ES, **Brazil**.

### **Siva Sankar. R**

Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Pondicherry University, **India**.

# Table of Contents, December 2022

Alina Siddiq, Iftikhar Ahmad, Nadir Abbas, and Areesha Siddiq

**Impact of Financial Development on Environment; Comparison of Low-, Middle- and High-Income Countries**

*J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci.* 2022 12(1): 1-13. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full-Text PDF\]](#)

---



# Impact of Financial Development on Environment; Comparison of Low-, Middle- and High-Income Countries

<sup>1</sup>Alina Siddiq, <sup>1</sup>Iftikhar Ahmad, <sup>1</sup>Nadir Abbas, and <sup>2</sup>Areesha Siddiq

<sup>1</sup>The School of Public Policy, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics (PIDE), Islamabad, 45320, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>School of Management Sciences, Quaid-e-Azam University Islamabad, 45320, Pakistan.

Received: August 21, 2022

Accepted: November 6, 2022

---

## ABSTRACT

Environmental degradation has emerged as a matter of concern for both the developed and developing countries. Sparing public finances only to mitigate climate change seems a time taking and unsustainable solution, hence combined social efforts are required. In the modern settings, financial development (FD) is among the primary driving force of strong economic growth and is believed to help sustainable development. In this study, herein, we investigate the results of FD on the improvement of environmental indicators namely carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane, symbolically known as (N<sub>2</sub>O), (CO<sub>2</sub>) and (CH<sub>4</sub>). The research uses panel statistics analysis employing data (1990-2018) for countries with low-, middle-, and high-income status. Moving forward, the empirical findings interestingly shows that FD increases CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in countries with low income, whereas in countries with middle-income, FD raises CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions but reduces CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. While in countries with high-income, FD posits a substantial negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Analysis indicate that the pollution haven hypothesis prevails in countries with low and middle-income (CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O). Whereas in high-income countries, evidence points to pollution halo hypothesis. The findings suggests that the financial sector should be obliged to dedicate more resources for clean energy projects, otherwise, the growth estimates (associated with FD) might require a revisit in wake of damage to environment.

**KEYWORDS:** Environmental Deterioration, Financial Development, Environment, Carbon Dioxide, Nitrous Oxide, Methane

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental deterioration has emerged as a matter of concern for both developed and developing countries. Climate change is raising concerns among global leaders throughout the world. An understanding of the relationship between environment and the economic activity is essential in order to make optimal policies. Normally, there is a trade-off between the two since policies targeted to increase growth lead to facilitate production through rapid industrialization which finally translates in higher incomes. With the rise in economic activity and consumption, eventually increases the emissions and concentration of pollutants. Thus, the climate change challenges and rise in global emissions of greenhouse gases have raised concerns of policymakers over the recent past.

Within the given settings, the role of financial markets is very interesting. Financial Development (FD) on one side stimulates economic development and thus raises energy consumption as well as CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, on the other side, FD provides people the resources to shift to energy-efficient products and appliances through consumer financing. Thus, it can boost environmental quality by facilitating the adoption of green and sustainable technology, and reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Shahbaz, 2013). To have a wholesome picture, it is important to analyze the contributory and offsetting roles of FD and come up with informed policy-making.

Despite available research on environmental issues, existing evidence on the impact of FD is not conclusive. Studies have found that FD lowers carbon emissions and helps in reducing environmental degradation. Halicioğlu (2009); Tamazian (2009); Tamazian and Rao (2010) proposed that the growth of the FD results in environmentally friendly programs and therefore reduces pollution in the energy sector. A substantial number of other research have revealed that the evolution of financial organizations contributes toward the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and optimize energy usage (Tamazian and Rao, 2010; Park et al., 2018). In contrast, Javid (2016) found that in Pakistan, FD exists at the expense of the environment. Nevertheless, studies like Shahbaz et al. (2012) and Alam et al. (2013) have also demonstrated that advancements in the financial sector facilitate access to finance and thus increase carbon emissions.

---

\*Corresponding Author: Alina Siddiq, The School of Public Policy, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics (PIDE), Islamabad, 45320, Pakistan School of Management Sciences, Quaid-e-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan

Environmental degradation and global rising temperature are two major problems that the world is experiencing right now. The reason behind the environmental degradation and global climate change is multifaceted and needs a holistic assessment. Therefore, there is need to consider environmental externalities and account for the potential positive and negative influences on it. The purpose of this research is to determine the impact of FD on three pollution indices in low-income, middle-income, and high-income economies. This research is distinguishing in the sense that it provides a thorough comparison of FD and its impact on three key environmental indicators, i.e., carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). In comparison, the previous studies are either conducted for limited number of countries or for specific years, mostly analyzing CO<sub>2</sub> only, hence, this study carries a greater mandate.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

There is a growing body of study investigating the relationship between FD and environmental quality. The validation of the environmental Kuznets curve, as well as evidence of an early departure<sup>1</sup>, have led researchers to analyzed the issues differently.

A number of studies suggested that technological advancement has resulted in more productive and energy-efficient technologies that are employed among the nation's development practices (Jalil and Feridun, 2012); (Shahbaz, 2013) ; (Alam et al., 2013); (Al-Mulali et al., 2015). On the contrary, Shoaib et al., (2020), in a panel of selected developing and developed countries found a growth in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (by 0.499% and 1.204%, respectively) due to financial development in the long run, despite having an insignificant short run impact. Sadi et al. (2019), revealed that economic expansion has a large long-term beneficial influence on carbon emissions. Ghorashi and Alavi (2018) and Xu et al. (2018) showed that in the long term, financial development degrades the environment.

Analysing Turkey, Cetin et al. (2018) provided the evidence that in the long run, FD and carbon dioxide emissions are correlated positively in the sense that a 1% surge in FD will lead to raising carbon emissions by 0.04%. In another study, on the Turkish economy, FD caused environmental degradation (Cetin and Ecevit, 2017). Siddique and Muhammad (2017) analyzed data from Pakistan and found link over time between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and FD, however in the short term, the effect was insignificant. Moghadam and Lotfalipour (2014) found similar results for Iran.

In contrast to the data presented above, a number of research have found that FD has a favourable influence on environmental indicators. Uyi and Hooi (2019) examined the impact of financial patterns on carbon dioxide emissions in 122 countries from 1990 to 2014. The research found that financial growth helped in minimizing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in advanced countries, however, it was not the case for less developed and developing countries. Similarly, Ganda and Fortune (2019) argued that FD is helping in reducing the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and GHGs in OECD countries.

Moreover, Qi et al. (2017) worked on a panel of 30 regions of China from the years 1997 to 2011. Using spatial panel econometrics approaches, the study found that as financial development increases, CO<sub>2</sub> per capita declines, promoting the environment at the provincial level. Saidi and Ben (2017) studied 19 developing countries and findings revealed that the FD coefficient is negative in all models, implying that FD benefits the environment and hence can be used to improve the environmental indicators.

Discussing the transition mechanism, Charfeddine and Ben (2015) showed that FD increase the growth of the economy, which promotes energy usage. In the case of Indonesia, FD shrinks carbon emission, whereas the energy sector and GDP were found to be the main contributors to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Shahbaz, 2013). Haseeb et al. (2018) examined the effects of FD in 59 countries along the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). The data revealed that FD had varying implications on environmental quality, with some developing countries seeing beneficial effects while others saw negative consequences.

In another study, Faiza and Khalid (2016) suggested that other mitigation strategies need to be implemented to minimize carbon footprints in such developing countries where there has not yet been a significant level of growth in the financial sector. Different variables of FD had played an essential part in mitigating emissions in the later stage only when there was a large degree of liberalization and growth of the FD. Meanwhile, Jalil (2011) confirmed that FD and pollution has a negative relationship and hence improves the environment in China. Similarly, Zhang (2011) are in the favor that FD increases emissions, whereas Park et al. (2018) are in the favor that FD decreases emissions. To sum up, evidence is inconclusive as both the positive and negative impact of FD on CO<sub>2</sub> emission is reported and hence necessitates further investigation.

One limitation of the literature though is that all the past and current research concerns the carbon emissions solely, which is not a single serious pollutant. It is true that emissions of carbon dioxide have recently been considered

---

<sup>1</sup> before achieving the highest point on the inverted U-curve

a major problem internationally due to its negative effect on climate. However, there is gap in the literature as other important environment pollutants namely nitrous oxide, and methane are not explored optimally. Therefore, this study contributes to the literature by filling this gap.

### 3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this study is to investigate the impact of economic expansion on the environment in low, medium, and high-income nations. This research analyzes the environmental pollution indicators namely CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>. To assess the effect of FD on environmental pollution indicators, a functional relationship is stated in the logarithmic form below, following Boopendra et al. (2018), Saud et al. (2018), Shahbaz et al. (2013), Boutabba (2014) and Jalil (2011). Equation 1 presents the empirical model.

$$P_{kit} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln GDP_{it} + \alpha_2 \ln FD_{it} + \alpha_3 \ln TO_{it} + \alpha_4 \ln EC_{it} + e_{it} \quad \text{Eq.1}$$

Where  $P_k$  stands for the dependent variable, 'k' representing the given three pollutants namely  $\ln CO_2$  (natural logarithm of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions),  $\ln N_2O$  (natural logarithm of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions), or  $\ln CH_4$  (natural logarithm of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions). The set of independent variables include  $\ln GDP$  is a natural logarithm of gross domestic product while  $\ln FD$  is a natural logarithmic form of domestic credit to the private sector;  $\ln TO$  represents log of trade openness and,  $\ln EC$  is a natural logarithmic form of energy consumption. In this equation,  $\alpha_0$  is the intercept and  $e$  are an error term. Hence with Eq. (1), this study analyzes three environmental pollution indicators by countries (i) at period (t).

#### 4. Construction of variables

For the period 1990-2018, statistics from the World Bank's Development Indicators for low-, middle-, and high-income nations are utilized. These countries are chosen based on their categorization by World Bank. The variables are used in logarithmic forms. Table 1 presents the set of dependent variables while Table 2 presents the list of independent variables along with projected symbols.



**Table 1: Dependent Variables (Environmental pollution Indicators)**

Variable name	Variable Measure	Symbol
Carbon Dioxide emissions	Metric tons per capita	CO <sub>2</sub>
Nitrous emissions	Thousand metric tons of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent	N <sub>2</sub> O
Methane	Kt of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent	CH <sub>4</sub>

**Table 2: Independent Variables**

Variable name	Variable measure	Symbol	Expected Sign	Economic Implication
<b>Financial Development</b>	Domestic credit to the private sector (% of GDP)	FD	+/-	A positive coefficient would indicate that FD boosts the unchecked industrial production and consumption hence causes an increase in environmental indicators (CO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>4</sub> ). On the contrary, if the coefficient of FD is negative, it would indicate that FD promotes green technology and hence improves the environment.
<b>Gross Domestic Product</b>	GDP (constant 2010 US\$)	GDP	+/-	If the coefficient of GDP is positive, it means that it is degrading the environment as higher per capita income level increases environmental indicators (CO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, CH <sub>4</sub> ). If the coefficient of GDP is negative, it means that it is improving the environment. Technological innovation aims to reduce emissions of contaminants by incorporating green technology.
<b>Trade Openness</b>	Trade (% of GDP)	TO	+/-	If the TO coefficient is positive, it means that the pollutant factories of developed economies are generating a significant amount of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by their production processes. If the TO coefficient is negative, this implies that the production of pollution producing goods are limited due to environmental protection legislation.
<b>Energy Consumption</b>	Energy consumption (kg of oil equivalent per capita)	EN	+/-	If the coefficient of EN is negative, it means that energy is utilized efficiently in green technology. It helps in reducing environmental degradation (Stern et al. 2006). If the coefficient of EN is positive, it means that there is more demand for fuels and gas, and it will ultimately lead to pollution and will deteriorate the environmental quality.

## 5. ESTIMATION STRATEGY

By combining cross-section and time-series statistics with panel data, it is often instructive to examine the relationships between variables. The main advantage of panel data is the expansion of degrees of freedom and power through the simultaneous use of additional information regarding the behavior of numerous entities. Panel data also helps to reduce multicollinearity issues that might arise when time-series are individually modelled, as well as the inclusion of heteroscedasticity in cross-sectional data. Such an issue can be solved successfully by the panel data method. Nonetheless, when we analyze the panel data, heterogeneity between entities/units is a central issue. The study employs the Fixed effect model and Random effect model, which are the two most used estimate approaches, to deal with heterogeneity.

## 6. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

### Correlation Metrix

The tables in Appendix-B contain the correlation matrices for the groups of low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries. B1 illustrates correlation between environmental indicators (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>) and FD as well as other independent variables in low-income countries. There exists a positive correlation between FD and carbon emissions, whereas a negative correlation with nitrous oxide and methane emissions.

Table B2 shows the correlation between environmental indicators (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>) and FD as well as other explanatory variables in middle income countries. A positive correlation is found between FD and the three environmental indicators (carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane).

Table B3 shows the correlation between environmental indicators (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>) and FD as well as other explanatory variables in high income countries. A positive correlation is found between FD and carbon emissions and nitrous oxide emissions, whereas negative correlation is found between FD and methane emissions.

#### Results for Hausman test

The findings of the fixed effect model are described in Table 3. In a panel of low-income countries, 10 countries are selected, which includes 286 observations. In three models on environmental pollution indicators, the fixed effect is preferred according to the Hausman test as P-value is less than 0.05.

An increase in GDP level creates environmental degradation as it happens at the expense of natural resources. This implies that the increase of 1 % in GDP indicates to 0.550% rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The positive relation among carbon emissions and GDP is showing that GDP affects the quality of the environment negatively. This could be due to the reason that revolution of the industrial sector has worsened the environment in different ways, such as to gain maximum growth natural resources are exploited. Also, there is no plan for sustainability of resources for our next generation, etc. which also have an indirect effect on the well-being of people. Our results that GDP causes an increase in carbon emissions are similar to the findings of Lean (2010); Smyth (2008); Salahuddin (2014). Our findings showed that financial development increases carbon emissions indirectly by increasing the use of the energy sector such that FD is showing a positive and significant impact on carbon emissions; that is when FD increases, carbon emissions increase by 0.124%. The development of the financial sector makes it easy for people to take loans from the banks and buy energy-intensive products, i.e., vehicles. Taspinar (2017) pointed out that the expansion of the financial sector would make countries continue to count on energy that could raise CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This result is in line with Hafeez et al. (2018), Coban (2013). Trade openness has a trivial but positive influence on carbon emissions. On the other hand, the demand for energy consumption increases when there is an introduction of a higher level of FD, It ultimately leads to more carbon emissions from countries with low incomes. Reduced carbon dioxide emissions are a direct result of reduced energy use (1.180%), and the results are similar to Ozturk (2013). The positive coefficient shows that energy consumption increases environmental degradation in a panel of a low-income group such that if there is an expansion in the growth of the economy, consumption of non-renewable energy increases, which further increases carbon emissions.

With the percentage rise in economic growth, nitrous oxide emissions decrease by 0.191%. This could be attributed to the technological advancement or usage of green technology, which decreases nitrous oxide emissions. Financial development degrades the environment by 0.077%, with a 1% increase in FD but the change is insignificant. The coefficient of trade openness has a negative and significant effect on nitrous oxide; that is, a percentage rise in trade openness leads to a 0.177% decrease in nitrous oxide in a panel of low-income countries. The negative relation of trade may be due to the effective process of production. Lastly, energy consumption degrades the environment in such a way that a rise in energy consumption raises the emissions of nitrous oxide by 0.460%. This can be because of using traditional and pollution-intensive technology, which increases the level of nitrous oxide in the environment.

There exists a positive relationship among GDP and methane, that is with a 1% increase in the level of GDP, methane emissions increase by 0.219% and thus degrade the environment. This is because low-income countries use non-renewable energy sources for their economic activities which increases GDP as well as methane emissions. FD has a negative but insignificant impact on methane (0.057). Methane is released by biomass burning, rice-growing, etc., so when people get loans from the banks, they utilize the same procedures for their company, which increases methane emissions in the environment. Trade has a small but detrimental influence on methane emissions (0.043). Energy consumption has a positive but small influence on methane emissions.

**Table 3: Regression Results for Low-Income Countries**

Dependent Variables	CO <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>4</sub>
Independent Variables	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect
lnGDP	0.5507 (0.000)	-0.191 (0.005)	0.2190 (0.000)
lnFD	0.1248 (0.002)	0.077 (0.149)	-0.0578 (0.180)
lnTO	0.0809 (0.086)	-0.177 (0.006)	-0.0436 (0.397)
lnEN	1.180 (0.000)	0.460 (0.003)	0.1262 (0.300)
Constant	-21.835 (0.000)	10.693 (0.000)	3.755 (0.003)
Number of Observations	286	286	286
Number of countries	10	10	10
R-Squared	0.717	0.0840	0.0875
Prob>F	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001
P-Value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

The fixed effect model results are described in Table 4. In a panel of middle-income countries, fifty-four countries are selected, and established on the accessibility of the data, which includes 1504 observations. In three models on environmental pollution indicators, the fixed effect is preferred according to the Hausman test as P-value is less than 0.05.

A percentage rise in economic growth in middle-income countries causes a 0.185% rise in carbon emissions which degrades the environment. Many of natural resources are exploited in the course of economic expansion. Which puts pressure on the environment as emissions increases and degrades the environment. Zhang (2009) gave empirical analysis that an upsurge in GDP can boost energy usage and eventually exacerbates CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The FD coefficient is positive and impacts significantly on the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, that is, an increase in FD assists in 0.028% growth in carbon emissions. An effective financial system can provide a favorable environment for customers to purchase more loans that enable them to raise their demand for CO<sub>2</sub>-emitting products. Our findings are similar to Jalil (2011). On the other side, if there are weak environmental standards, it will cause trade to contribute to environmental deprivation. The trade (0.088) and energy consumption (0.845) coefficients are positive and significant, showing that both variables contribute to environmental degradation. Our results are similar to Tamazian and Rao (2010); Shahbaz et al. (2013).

A percentage rise in financial growth causes a 0.071% increase in nitrous emissions and degrades the environment. This may be because of the non-sustainability of the resources, as more and more resources are used on a daily basis for the rise in GDP, but it initiates an adverse impact on the environment. Coefficient of FD (0.11) and trade openness (0.054) is positive but insignificant. While the coefficient of energy consumption is positive and significant (0.345), showing that it contributes to environmental degradation. If countries use old sources of energy, it will cause deterioration of quality of environment.

A percentage increase in GDP in middle-income countries causes a 0.233% increase in methane emissions and hence degrades the environment. The degradation of the environment is due to economic growth, which causes the excess burning of biomass, which is used in production processes. The coefficient of FD (0.008) has a negative but insignificant influence on methane emissions. While trade openness (0.035) has a negative but insignificant influence on methane emissions, energy consumption (0.112%) has a considerably positive impact, indicating that the variable leads to environmental deterioration.

The results of the fixed effect model are described in Table 5. In a panel of high-income countries, twenty-six countries are selected, established on the accessibility of the data, which includes 702 observations. In three models on environmental pollution indicators, the fixed effect is preferred according to the Hausman test as P-value is less than 0.05.

A percentage rise in GDP in high-income countries causes 0.183% rise in carbon emissions and hence degrades the environment, results are similar to Lean (2010), Narayan (2008), Salahuddin et al. (2015), Tamazian and

Rao (2010). With increased inflows of financial development, new monetary funds and trainings could be introduced for environmental developments which try to lessen expenses as well as enhance the general situation of their environments. The FD coefficient has a negative and sizeable influence on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, such that an increase in FD is leading to 0.054% fall in carbon emissions. A properly performing financial structure establishes a carbon trading mechanism that produces occasions to reduce carbon emissions. This included Findings that are like Claessens and Feijen (2007). An effectual financial system allows nations to execute environmentally friendly laws, and it also influences companies and house level economic procedures to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Findings are similar to Omri et al. (2015); Yuxiang (2010). An improved version of the finance structure can have a favorable impact on environmental performance; FD will allow for more cost-effective funding. On the other hand, coefficient of trade, openness has a negative impact on the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, such that 1% growth in trade openness leads to 0.226% reduction in carbon emissions and hence improves the environment, this is advocated by Shahbaz et al., (2013) although the energy consumption coefficient has no significant influence on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

**Table 4: Regression Results for Middle-Income Countries**

Variable name	CO <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>4</sub>
	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect
<b>lnGDP</b>	0.185 (0.000)	0.071 (0.007)	0.233 (0.000)
<b>lnFD</b>	0.028 (0.005)	0.011 (0.461)	-0.008 (0.456)
<b>lnTO</b>	0.088 (0.000)	0.054 (0.119)	0.035 (0.176)
<b>lnEN</b>	0.845 (0.000)	0.345 (0.000)	0.123 (0.000)
<b>Constant</b>	-10.263 (0.000)	4.550 (0.000)	3.103 (0.000)
<b>Number of Observations</b>	1,700	1,698	1,699
<b>Number of countries</b>	59	59	59
<b>R-Squared</b>	0.657	0.119	0.218
<b>Prob&gt;F</b>	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
<b>P-Value</b>	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

A percentage increase in economic growth in high-income countries, causes 0.234% increase in nitrous oxide emissions and hence degrades the environment. The coefficient of FD is significant and has a negative impact on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, such that a growth in FD is leading to a 0.135% fall in nitrous oxide emissions. While the trade coefficient plays a significant negative part on the nitrous oxide such that an enhancement in trade openness, reduces in nitrous oxide by 0.303%. The negative coefficient indicates that high-income countries are more open to trade, but this is not the cause of the high level of nitrous oxide emissions, whereas the coefficient of energy consumption is significantly negative, indicating that a one percentage point increase in energy consumption leads to a 0.139% decrease in nitrous oxide emissions.

The percentage rise in GDP causes a 0.338% rise in methane emissions and hence degrades the environment. If the economic activity contains the burning of biomass etc. it would lead towards an increase in methane emissions in the environment. FD do not hold significant effect on methane emissions in the panel of high-income countries. While the trade coefficient is significantly negative effect, which means that high-income countries are accessible to trade, it does not contribute to environmental degradation hence improves the environment. Contrary, the coefficient of energy consumption degrades the environment because more non-renewable resources are used, that is a percentage rise in energy consumption causes an increase in methane emissions by 0.029.

**Table 5: Regression Results for High-Income Countries**

Variable name	CO <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>4</sub>
	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect	Fixed Effect
<b>lnGDP</b>	-0.085 (0.001)	-0.003 (0.920)	0.201 (0.000)
<b>lnFD</b>	-0.0359 (0.032)	-0.131 (0.000)	-0.011 (0.536)
<b>lnTO</b>	-0.113 (0.000)	-0.148 (0.000)	-0.239 (0.000)
<b>lnEN</b>	0.876 (0.000)	0.556 (0.000)	0.455 (0.000)
<b>Constant</b>	-2.237 (0.000)	5.362 (0.000)	1.673 (0.002)
<b>Number of Observations</b>	777	777	777
<b>Number of countries</b>	27	27	27
<b>R-Squared</b>	0.550	0.196	0.412
<b>Prob&gt;F</b>	0.000	0.0000	0.0000
<b>P-Value</b>	0.000	0.0000	0.0000

According to the findings of this study, the role of FD in the two groups is the same, that is in low- and middle-income groups, FD is degrading the environment except for CH<sub>4</sub> in the middle-income group, which is enhancing the environmental quality. But in high-income countries, the effect of FD is different; FD is promoting the environment quality (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>).

## 7. DISCUSSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall study's findings can be summed up as follows.: GDP raises CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> and reduces N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in low income countries, In middle income countries, GDP raises CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> whereas in high income countries, GDP raises CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The increase in emissions might be because extreme growth of the economy leads to environmental deprivation. The result of carbon emissions is like Narayan (2008) and Salahuddin et al. (2015). All three income groups must adopt efficient means of capital and move towards green technology in order to reduce their emissions, as the environment is degrading at a high pace.

FD has a positive impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in low-income and middle-income countries. Our results are similar to Farhani and Ozturk. (2015); Zhang (2011). Contrary, in a panel of high-income countries, FD decreases CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O. Results are like Shahbaz et al. (2013). The influence of FD on carbon emissions in different income-groups is different because of dissimilar levels of financial development. Our results are similar with (Yuxiang and Chen, 2010), he stated that the countries which have developed financial sector offer a chance to firms to adopt advanced and green technology in order to reduce carbon emissions. Hence, policy recommendation for low-income countries is that they should focus on their financial sector and make it advance and sound in order to reduce their emissions. On the other side, the negative impact of FD posits that those countries have already started advanced and green technology, but the positive impact of FD posits that those countries have not started any adoption of green policies to protect their environment. Countries must grow economically, but they should not neglect the quality of the environment. Trade openness enhances the environment in case of low-income countries, as it affects environmental pollution indicator (N<sub>2</sub>O) negatively. In middle income countries, trade increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (0.088). The trade of the big-ticket item must be reduced in order to decrease emissions. Environmentally responsive excise duties can be introduced to essential industries. Optimal industrial taxes must be levied on pollution-intensive factories. Trade openness promotes the environment quality in high-income countries. Our finding is similar to Shahbaz et al. (2013). While energy consumption is a degrading environment (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>) in low-middle and high-income countries. Our findings are similar to Arouri et al. (2012). In this situation, countries must implement the use of renewable energy sources. This might be because of an increase in usage of renewable energy sources which reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. So, the government must focus on making those policies that would ensure an adequate energy supply by rising steadily the number of renewable sources of energy in the aggregate of the electricity supply. On the other hand, energy consumption decreases N<sub>2</sub>O in high-income countries; our results are similar to Mirza and Kanwal (2017).

## 8. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the impact of FD on environment pollution indicators, namely carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), and in low, middle- and high-income countries. We employed FE on all three regressions for low, middle- and high-income countries to analyze the existence of correlation between  $\alpha_i$  and  $x_{it}$  in all periods of time. The study discovered a link between FD and environmental indicators in poor and middle-income nations, implying that FD promotes pollution since low and middle-income countries have problems in accessing green or environmentally friendly technologies and instead rely on conservative tactics. While high-income nations deploy green technology, the impact of FD on carbon emissions is negative. This disparity is due to the amount of FD these nations receive. A high FD level reduces emissions, whereas a low FD level raises emissions.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Jalil, M. F. (2011). The impact of growth and financial development on the environment in China: A cointegration analysis. *Energy economics* 33 (2011), 284-291.
- Alam, F. I. (2013 ). Financial development and energy consumption nexus in Malaysia: A multivariate time series analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 435-441.
- Al-Mulali, U. T. (2015). Does financial development reduce environmental degradation? Evidence from a panel study of 129 countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 22(19), 14891-14900.
- Artur Tamazian, J. P. (2009). Does economic and financial development lead to environmental degradation: Evidence from BRIC countries. *Energy Policy*, 246-253.
- Boopendra SEEtanah, R. v. (2018). impact of economic and financial development on environmental degradation: Evidence from small island and developing states (SIDS). *Emergy markets finance and trade*.
- Claessens S, Feijen E. (2007). Financial sector development and millennium development goals. *World Bank Working Paper No. 89*
- Coban S, T. M. (2013). The nexus between financial development and energy consumption in the EU: a dynamic panel data analysis. *Energy Econ* 39, 81-88.
- Faiza Abbasi, K. (2016). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and financial development in an emerging economy: An augmented VAR approach. *Energy policy*, 102-114.
- Farhani S, O. I. (2015). Causal relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions real GDP, energy consumption, financial development, trade openness, and urbanization in Tunisia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22(20), 5663–15676.
- Ganda, F. (2019). The environmental impacts of financial development in OECD countries: *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*.
- Hadi Esmailpour Moghadam, M. R. (2014). Impact of Financial Development on the Environmental. *Chinese Business Review*, Vol. 13, No. 9, 537-551.
- Hafeez, M, C. Y. (2018). Does finance affect Environmental degradation: Evidence from one belt and one road initiative region? Implications for the environment. *Energies* 12(7), 1358.
- Halicioglu. (2009). An econometric study of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, income, and foreign trade in Turkey. *EnergyPolicy*, 1156–64.
- Hamisu Sadi Ali, S. H. (2019). Financial development and carbon emissions in Nigeria: Evidence from ARDL bounds Approach. *Goejournal*, 641-655.
- Haseeb, S. S. (2018). Impact of financial development and economic growth. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*.

- Jalil A, F. M. (2011). The impact of growth, energy, and financial development on the environment in China: a cointegration analysis. *Energy Econ* 33(2), 284-291.
- Jalil A, F. (2012). The impact of financial development on the environment in China: A cointegration analysis. *Energy Econ*, 33:284-91.
- Kartircioglu ST, T. N. (2017). Testing the moderating role of financial development in an environmental Kuznets curve: empirical evidence from Turkey. *Renew Sust Energy* 87(6), 572-586.
- Kias Saidi, M. B. (2017). The impact of income, trade, urbanization, and financial development on CO2 emissions in 19 emerging economies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 12784-12757.
- Kizito Uyi, H. H. (2019). Effects of Energy consumption, economic growth, and financial Development on Carbon emissions: evidence from heterogeneous income groups. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22611-22624.
- Lean HH, S. R. (2010). CO2 emissions, electricity consumption, and output in ASEAN. *Appl Energy* 87(6), 1858-1864.
- Mirza FM, K. A. (2017). Energy consumption, carbon emissions, and economic growth in Pakistan: dynamic causality analysis. *Renew Sust Energy Rev* 72, 1233-1240.
- Muhammad Javid, F. (2016). EnvironmentalKuznetscurveand financial development in Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 54, 406-414.
- Muhammad, S. (2013). Economic growth, energy consumption, financial development, international trade, and CO2 emissions in Indonesia. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 25, 109-21.
- Murat Cetin, E. E. (2017). The impact of financial development on carbon emissions under the Structural Breaks: Empirical Evidence from the Turkish Economy. *International Journal of Economic perspectives*, volume 11, issue 1, 64-78.
- Murt Cetin, E. E. (2018). The impact of economic growth, energy consumption, trade openness, and financial development on carbon emissions: Empirical Evidence from Turkey. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*.
- Naghme Ghorashi, A. A. (2018). Impact of Financial Development on CO2 emissions: Panel Data Evidence from Iran's Economic Sectors. *Journal of community health Research*, 127-133.
- Narayan PK, S. R. (2008). Energy consumption and real GDP in G7 countries: new evidence from panel cointegration with structural breaks. *Energy Econ* 30, 2331-2341.
- Omri A, D. S. (2015). Financial development, environmental quality, trade, and economic growth: what causes what in MENA countries? *Energy Econ* 48, 242-252.
- Ozturk I, A. A. (2013). The long-run and causal analysis of energy, growth, openness, and financial development on carbon emissions in Turkey. *Energy Econ* 36, 262-267.
- Qi, L. X. (2017). Financial Development and Carbon emissions in Chinese provinces: A spatial Panel data Analysis. *The Singapore Economic Review*.
- Salahuddin, M, G. J. ( 2015). Is the long-run relationship between economic growth, electricity consumption, carbon dioxide emissions, and financial development in Gulf Cooperation Council Countries robust? *Renew Sust Energy Rev* 51, 317-326.
- Salahuddin, M, G. J. (2014). Economic growth, energy consumption C02 emissions in gulf cooperation council countries. *Energy* 73, 44-58.

- Shah Saud, S. C. (2018). impact of financial development and economic growth on environmental quality: an empirical analysis from Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*.
- Shahbaz, M, L. H. (2012). Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis in Pakistan: Cointegration and Granger causality. *Renew Sust Energy Rev*, 2947–53.
- Shahbaz, M, T. A. (2013). The effects of financial development, economic growth, coal consumption, and trade openness on CO2 emissions in South Africa. *Energy Policy* 61, 1452–1459.
- Shahbaz, M. S. (2013). Does financial development reduce CO2 emissions in the Malaysian economy? A time-series analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 35, 145-152.
- Siddique, H. M. (2017). impact of financial development and energy consumption on CO2 Emissions: Evidence from Pakistan. *Bulletin of Business and Economics*, 68-73.
- Tamazain A, R. B. (2010). Do economic, financial, and institutional developments matter for environmental degradation? Evidence from transitional economics. *Energy Econ*, 32:137–45.
- Xu, Z., Baloch, M.A., Danish *et al.* (2018). Nexus between financial development and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Saudi Arabia: analyzing the role of globalization. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 25, 28378–28390
- Y, Z. (2011). The impact of financial development on carbon emissions an empirical analysis in China. *Energy Policy* 39(4), 2197–2203.
- Yongmoon Park, F. M. (2018). The effect ICT, financial development, growth, and trade openness on CO2 emissions. *Environmental science and Pollution Research*, 30708-30719.
- Yuxiang K, C. Z. (2010). Financial development and environmental performance evidence from China. *Environ Dev Econ* 16(1), 93–111.
- Zhang XP, C. X. (2009). Energy Consumption, carbon emissions, and economic growth in China. *Ecol Econ* 68(10), 2706-2712.
- Zhang, Y.-J. (2011). The impact of financial development on carbon Dioxide: An empirical analysis in China. *Energy Policy*, 2197-2203.



<b>Table B1 Correlation Matrix of low-income countries</b>								
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1)lnCO <sub>2</sub>	1.000							
2)lnN <sub>2</sub> O	-0.537	1.000						
3)lnCH <sub>4</sub>	-0.577	0.908	1.000					
4)lnGDP	-0.038	0.620	0.742	1.000				
5)lnFD	0.669	-0.609	-0.457	-0.112	1.000			
6)lnFDI	0.008	0.000	-0.029	0.022	-0.100	1.000		
7)lnTO	0.372	-0.412	-0.441	-0.406	0.302	0.326	1.000	
8)lnEn	0.396	0.050	0.042	0.193	0.259	0.067	0.104	1.000

<b>Table B2 Correlation Matrix of middle-income countries</b>								
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
lnCO <sub>2</sub>	1.000							
lnN <sub>2</sub> O	0.071	1.000						
lnCH <sub>4</sub>	0.091	0.963	1.000					
lnGDP	0.340	0.821	0.854	1.000				
lnFD	0.410	0.166	0.159	0.372	1.000			
lnFDI	0.081	-0.157	-0.160	-0.130	0.109	1.000		
lnTO	0.232	-0.509	-0.517	-0.491	0.172	0.373	1.000	
lnEn	0.911	0.084	0.093	0.296	0.290	0.079	0.246	1.000

<b>Table B3 Correlation Matrix of middle-income countries</b>								
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
lnCO <sub>2</sub>	1.000							
lnN <sub>2</sub> O	0.020	1.000						
lnCH <sub>4</sub>	0.219	0.880	1.000					
lnGDP	0.315	0.778	0.744	1.000				
lnFD	0.157	0.039	-0.049	0.426	1.000			
lnFDI	-0.224	-0.177	-0.262	-0.197	0.063	1.000		
lnTO	-0.002	-0.707	-0.701	-0.473	0.125	0.491	1.000	
lnEn	0.064	-0.290	-0.194	-0.148	0.268	0.073	0.110	1.000





[Home](#)

[Journals](#)

[Instructions to Authors](#)

[Manuscript Submission](#)

[Join Us](#)

[Contact Us](#)



**Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research**



**Journal of Social Sciences and Humanity Studies**



**Journal of Basic and Applied Chemistry**



**Journal of Basic Sciences and Applied Research**



**Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences**



**Journal of Computer Sciences and Communication**



**Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences**



**Journal of Engineering and Higher Technology**



**Journal of Agriculture and Food Technology**



**Current Economics and Management Research**

**TEXTROAD JOURNALS**

Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences

Search

Main Menu

- Home
- Journals
- Instructions to Authors
- Submit Article
- Join Us
- Contact Us
- Open Access

**Mission**

TEXTROAD journals provide free access to worldwide quality research publication. Our programs present a rapid time possible for reviewing and publishing. TEXTROAD journals are self-indexed/abstracted in the world's known databases. Our editorial team members spread in different corners of the world and make sure of the quality of the published research articles.

**Vision**

To publish descriptions of all aspects of basic and applied research having an important value worldwide. Our journals publish peer-reviewed original research, critical reviews or short communications in all aspects of basic applied and advanced research.

**Goals**

The ultimate goals of these journals are to help scientists and researchers, especially those from developing countries, publish their best findings in our scientific journals. Also, offer scientific technical and consultants service to the publishing services.

Copyright © 2022, TEXTROAD. All Rights Reserved. TEXTROAD Publishing Corporation

# INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS

## Manuscript Submission:

Send your manuscript with attachment by mailing it to [info@textroad.com](mailto:info@textroad.com), [textroadjournals@gmail.com](mailto:textroadjournals@gmail.com) along with [covering letter](#).

## Manuscript Preparation:

- \* Title
- \* Author names and addresses
- \* Abstracts (Not more than 300 words)
- \* Key words
- \* Introduction
- \* Materials and Methods
- \* Results and Discussions
- \* References (Use numbering in the text instead of full references).  
Give full references at the end of the file
- \* Photographs should be of high quality (Minimum 300-600 dpi)
- \* Graphs should be in clearly visible form so that it may become easy to redraw
- \* The manuscript must be submitted in MS-WORD file format.

## INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

### Submission

Submit manuscripts as e-mail attachment to the Editorial Office at:

[textroadjournals@gmail.com](mailto:textroadjournals@gmail.com) or [info@textroad.com](mailto:info@textroad.com) along with [covering letter](#). A manuscript number will be mailed to the corresponding author same day or within 48 hours. The authors may also suggest two to four reviewers for the manuscript (JBASR may designate other reviewers). There is no page limit. The submitting author takes responsibility for the paper during submission and peer review.

### Terms of Submission

Papers must be submitted on the understanding that they have not been published elsewhere (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, review, or thesis) and are not currently under consideration by another journal. The submitting author is responsible for ensuring that the article's publication has been approved by all the other coauthors. All enquiries concerning the publication of accepted papers should be addressed to [editor@textroad.com](mailto:editor@textroad.com).

### Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors within one or two weeks. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the JBASR to publish manuscripts within 4 weeks after submission.

### Style of Manuscripts

Manuscripts should be written in clear, concise and grammatically correct English (with 10 font size and Times New Roman font style) so that they are intelligible to the professional reader who is not a specialist in any particular field. Manuscripts that do not conform to these requirements and the following manuscript format may be returned to the author prior to review for correction. The entire manuscript, including references, should be typed single spaced on one side of the paper. All pages should be numbered consecutively in the bottom centre starting from the title page. The manuscript should be presented in the following order.

### Title and Authorship Information

The title should be a brief phrase (capitalize first letter of each word in the title) describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

### Abstract

All manuscripts should not exceed 250-300 words and should describe the scope, hypothesis or rationale for the work and the main findings. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

### Keywords

Key words (5-7 words) should be provided below the Abstract to assist with indexing of the article. These should not duplicate key words from the title.

### Introduction

This section should include sufficient background information, provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. The aims of the manuscript should be clearly stated. The introduction should not contain either findings or conclusions. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

## Materials and Methods

This should be complete enough to provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be repeated by others. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

## Results

Results should be presented in a logical sequence in the text, tables and figures; repetitive presentation of the same data in different forms should be avoided. The results should not contain material appropriate to the Discussion. It should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature.

## Discussion

The discussion should consider the results in relation to any hypotheses advanced in the Introduction and place the study in the context of other work. Results and Discussion sections can be combined.

## Conclusions

If an optional conclusion section is used, its content should not substantially duplicate the abstract.

## Acknowledgment

The acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

## References

Bibliographic references in the text appear like [1, 2, 5, 6], using square brace in superscript. References should be numbered consecutively, with style:

### Journal paper:

1. Hadjibabaie, M., N. Rastkari, A.Rezaie and M. Abdollahi, 2005. The Adverse Drug Reaction in the Gastrointestinal Tract: An Overview. *Intl. J. Pharmacol.*, 1 (1): 1-8.

### Books:

1. Daniel A. Potter, 2002. Destructive turfgrass insects: Biology, diagnosis and control. Wiley Canada Publishers, pp: 24-67.

### Chapters in Book:

1. Bray R.A., 1994. The leucaena psyllid. In: Forage Tree Legumes in Tropical Agriculture (eds R.C. Gutteridge and H.M. Shelton) pp. 283-291. CAB International, Oxford.

Titles of journals should be given in full. 'In press' can only be used to cite manuscripts actually accepted for publication in a journal. Citations such as 'manuscript in preparation' or 'manuscript submitted' are not permitted. Data from such manuscripts can only be mentioned in the text as 'unpublished data'.

### A Report:

1. Makarewicz, J.C., T. Lewis and P. Bertram, 1995. Epilimnetic phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass and species composition in Lake Michigan, 1983-1992. U.S. EPA Great Lakes National Program, Chicago, IL. EPA 905-R-95-009.

### Conference Proceedings:

1. Stock, A., 2004. Signal Transduction in Bacteria. In the Proceedings of the 2004 Markey Scholars Conference, pp: 80-89.

### A Thesis:

1. Strunk, J.L., 1991. The extraction of mercury from sediment and the geochemical partitioning of mercury in sediments from Lake Superior, M. S. thesis, Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, MI.

## Tables and Equations

Tables and equations should not be submitted in a format exceeding the A4 page size (in portrait form). **All tables should be embedded within the manuscript, and must be captioned and numbered sequentially.** Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text.

## Figures / Illustrations / Photographs

Graphics should be supplied as high resolution (at least 300-600 dp.i.) electronic files. Digital images supplied only as low-resolution print-outs cannot be used. Graphs, diagrams, chromatograms, photos, etc. should be prepared as clear, original positives, suitable for reproduction. **All figures should be embedded within the manuscript, and must be captioned and numbered sequentially.**

## Proofs

Proofs will be sent via e-mail as an Acrobat PDF file (e-mail attachment) and should be returned within 3 days of receipt. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

## Check List

We recommend that you ask a colleague to read over your paper prior to submission to ensure it is of a high standard and conforms to a high level of scientific writing.

Before submission of your manuscript, please check that:

- All references cited in the text are included in the reference section.
- All figures and tables are cited in the text.
- Figures are at least 300 d.p.i.
- The pages are numbered.